INTRODUCTION

In the areas intensively exploited by industry, such as hard coal mining regions, almost all elements of the natural environment undergo degradation [Zając and Zarzycki 2013]. The processes occurring in the orogens and on the surface caused by the underground hard coal extraction lead immediately to subsidence and the area deformation making cultivation difficult or eliminating natural soil use [Klatka et al. 2016]. The phenomenon also causes hydrological soil degradation, such as drainage or water logging [Kaszowska 2007]. A high level of soil dampness worsens the conditions for plant vegetation and intensifies expansion of hydrophilous plants, which causes soils degradation, lowering their productivity and forces a change of the soil use [Rosik-Dulewska et al. 1999]. Quality of the soil environment in post-mining areas may be determined on the basis of soil quality classes, agricultural suitability complexes and crop yields [Reith and Charles 1982]. A more precise assessment result may be obtained taking into consideration many other elements, such as soil properties and form and kind of surface degradation [Porter et al. 1988]. Complexity of the issue of environmental conditions assessment for the needs of reclamation has been reflected in the quantity and diversity of applied methods [Klatka et al. 2016]. One of these is the soil productivity index method developed by Zhengi Hu et al. [1992], which makes possible precise determining present quality of soils degraded by mining.

Determining soil properties and productivity indices in the post-mining areas may be also used to assess the effects of conducted reclamation [Doll et al. 1984].

The aim of the paper was determining productivity indices for the soils undergoing degradation in the area of selected subsidence depression caused by hard coal extraction.
and hydrological soil degradation as water logging. Currently in this area, there are energy infrastructure and unpreserved drainage ditches overgrown with vegetation. The terrain is used as wet meadows and pastures, in some parts marshy. In order to characterise soil properties and assess the degree of their degradation, 4 soil openings were made with the description of geomorphological features and material for analyses was collected. Detailed location of the pits was presented in Figure 1.

The properties of collected soils were analysed in a laboratory by means of standard method applied in soil science. The granulometric composition was determined using Casa-grande’s method in Prószyński’s modification. Granulometric groups and sub-groups were established basing on Polish standard PN-R-04033. Physical properties (bulk density and total porosity) were determined using method of Kopecky’s cylinders of 100 cm$^3$ volume [Mocek et al. 1997]. Specific gravity was determined by pictometry in distilled water. The soil pH was measured by potentiometer in H$_2$O and 1 n KCL, whereas electrolytic conductivity was measured by conductometer in soil solutions at 1:2.5 soil:water ratio. Organic matter content was determined by Tiurin’s method in Oleksynowa’s modification [Oleksynowa et al. 1987], which relays on humus oxidation by potassium dichromate (Cr$^{6+}$). Saturated conductivity coefficient were determined in laboratory by means of pressure drop method in the apparatus basing on Darcy’s law with regulated water pressure height and electronic reading of water volume. Saturated conductivity coefficient using this apparatus is based on linear relationship between flow and hydraulic gradient at so called laminar flow, according to Darcy’s law [Baver at al. 1972, Ryczek at al. 2017]. The investigation results were shown in Table 1. Productivity index (PI) was calculated on the basis of Zhengi Hu et al. [1992] method. The essence of the method is based on the equation fixing the relationships between productivity index and physical, chemical and physical-water soil properties. The basis of soil productivity index is an equation correlating the productivity index with soil properties, developed by Neill [1979].

The main element of the method may take into consideration the following soil properties: soil granulometric composition, bulk density,
specific gravity, compactness, saturated conductivity coefficient, porosity, soil pH, organic matter content, electrolytic conductivity, depth of the root zone, aggregate stability and sodium content. Computations of PI may include all above mentioned soil parameters or only several selected ones. The productivity index may be determined on the basis of the equation:

$$PI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i \times r_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where: $PI$ – soil productivity index
$n$ – number of parameter (soil property)
$w_i$ – weighting factor for a given parameter (soil property), however
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \hspace{1cm} (2)
\]

where: $r_i$ – coefficient (0–1) for the i-th soil parameter in case of a homogenous soil profile.

If a soil profile is composed of many horizons or layers, $r_i$ coefficient is calculated from the formula:

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} (RL_{ij} \times WL_j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where: $RL_{ij}$ – functional coefficient determined on the basis of tabular data (0–1) and the i-th parameter (soil property) in the j-th horizon or soil layer,
$WL_j$ – weighting factor for the j-th level,
whereby:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} WL_j = 1 \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where: $L_j$ – number of a subsequent horizon in a soil profile,
$m$ – number of horizons.

Calculations of PI index were conducted by means of the Author’s original software, whose graphic algorithm was presented in Figure 3. Input data for the computations were determined properties of the soil material collected from the prepared soil pits. Weighting factors for the soil properties were assumed on the same level – $w_i = 0.09$, whereas weighting factors for the soil profile horizons $WL_j = 0.33$. The results of calculations were presented in Table 1.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

According to Polish Soil Classification [PTG 2011], investigated soils belong to the order of brown earths, eutrophic brown soils type and gleyic eutrophic brown soils sub-type. These soils reveal properties of brown earths, but differ from them with a higher moisture content in the profile. Analysis of the laboratory analyses results shows that sandy loams and loamy sands prevail in the investigated area. The soils with such mechanical composition are regarded as not very resistant to degradation processes caused by hard coal extraction [Klatka et al. 2019]. Specific gravity was between 2.48 and 2.65 g·m$^{-3}$ (on average...
2.60 g·m⁻³) and was approximate to the values most frequently occurring in the soils of Poland [Mocek 2015]. Values of bulk density were on average of 1.50 g·m⁻³ and also approximated medium values noted for the soils, which according to Assouline et al. [1997] range between 0.75-1.90 g·m⁻³. The lowest values of bulk density were registered in the soil humus horizons. In lower horizons these values were increasing with growing soil compactness and degree of waterlogging in the soil profiles. The imminent consequence of the changes in soil compactness due to increased moisture content are changes of total porosity and distribution of soil pores [Baranowski 1980]. Total porosity ranged from 38.25 to 48.54%, on average 43.09%. Bulk density and total porosity affect shaping of main agrotechnical values in soils, as well as their air and water

### Table 1. Properties and productivity index of investigated soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil properties</th>
<th>Opening I</th>
<th>Opening II</th>
<th>Opening III</th>
<th>Opening IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer [cm]</td>
<td>0–28</td>
<td>29–84</td>
<td>85–135</td>
<td>0–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand fraction content (2–0.05 mm) [%]</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt fraction content (0.05–0.002 mm) [%]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay fraction content (&lt;0.002 mm) [%]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil texture</td>
<td>pg</td>
<td>gp</td>
<td>gp</td>
<td>gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity [Mg·m⁻³]</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density [Mg·m⁻³]</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity [%]</td>
<td>48.54</td>
<td>45.65</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction in KCl</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction in H₂O</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolitic conductivity [mS·cm⁻¹]</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter content [%]</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated conductivity coefficient [m·d⁻¹]</td>
<td>0.00064</td>
<td>0.00084</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.00235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity index</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. The graphic algorithm of PI index

\[
W_i \cdot W_j \cdot W_k \cdot \mu_{i,j} \cdot \mu_{j,k} \cdot \mu_{k,i} = W_n \\
W_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \cdot \mu_{i,j} \cdot \mu_{i,j} \cdot \mu_{i,j} = PL = \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} W_k \cdot \mu_{i,j}
\]
Conclusions

The investigated area is under intense influence of underground operations of hard coal extraction. Geomechanical surface transformations...
(subsidence), intensified over time, led to a formation of a landlocked subsidence depression, waterlogged area in the central part of the terrain and global raise of the groundwater table. All these factors cause a hydrological degradation of soils.

Analysis of both field and laboratory tests shows that soil material revealing a high susceptibility to hydrological degradation processes prevails in the discussed area. Beside the mining operations, the size of this form of degradation has been undoubtedly affected by a change of soil properties. The soil physicochemical properties did not point to the symptoms of soil damage due to acidification, alkalisation or salinity.

Productivity indices determined for the studied soils classify the discussed area as a terrain with poor conditions for agricultural production and evidence the necessity to undertake reclamation treatment. Low values of PI index are mainly affected by the changes of primary soil properties due to degradation processes intensifying over time. Obtained results allow to state that the applied method is not only a quantitative and evidence the necessity to undertake reclamation treatment. Low values of PI index are mainly affected by the changes of primary soil properties due to degradation processes intensifying over time. Obtained results allow to state that the applied method is not only a quantitative and complex one for the assessment of degraded soil quality, but may be also applied for the estimation of reclamation needs, particularly in post-mining areas. However, the method does not take into consideration current soil fertility and its nutrient status, which make impossible comparing the obtained results with the soil quality classification.
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