INTRODUCTION

Chemical contaminants such as heavy metals continue to damage coastal areas in the marine environment as a result of lithogenic and anthropogenic activity (Belin, 2013). Streams and water reservoirs naturally become enriched in heavy metals due to rock weathering and volcanic activity, a process known as “lithogenic” (Sany, 2011). On the other hand, through rivers and canals, human activities like industry, agriculture, and urban development structures add pollutants to the sea (Sany, 2011). Chemical pollution has an influence on both the marine environment and the human population (Belin, 2013).

These contaminants pose a threat to the environment, human health, and food safety. Therefore, heavy metals-contaminated sediment needs to be cleaned up as soon as possible (Benami et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013b; Zeng et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2016b). Recent media attention has focused heavily on the hot topic of sediment pollution. Many strategies have been used to reduce and avoid pollution. (Xu et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2013a; Cheng et al. 2016a; Gerhardt et al. 2009; Peng et al. 2009). The two major categories that
remediation technologies fall into are in-situ and ex-situ remediation.

In situ remediation is the process of treating a contaminant in its actual environment. Without needing to transfer the sediment, this method seeks to eliminate contaminants from sediment. Ex-situ remediation involves excavating contaminated sediment and treating it elsewhere, away from the site. When compared to other treatments, in-situ cleanup provides a variety of potential technical, financial, and environmental benefits (Kuppusamy et al., 2016; Bardos et al., 2000). In situ remediation may be the only option for treating pollutants once the size of the polluted region and cost-benefit are taken into account.

In-situ remediation is preferred for sizable contaminated soil or sediment, because it harms the environment less, is relatively easy to carry out, and costs less compared to the ex-situ treatment (Guiwei et al., 2008; Velimirovic et al., 2014; Carberry and Wik, 2001). In order to prevent surface water and biota from being permanently contaminated, polluted sediments can be covered with a capping material using an in-situ remediation technique called capping. A subaqueous layer over polluted sediments stabilises the sediments, prevents resuspension and transport, and lessens the transmission of dissolved pollutants into surface waters. It is a method for controlling the discharge of pollutants from the sediments through adsorption or binding keeping the amount of pollutants in the water above the sediments at an acceptable level (Ghosh et al., 2011; Gomez et al., 2013).

Additionally, compared to other types of sediment remediation, capping treatment has less of an effect on aquatic ecology (Beckingham et al., 2013; Ghosh et al., 2011). In addition to being expensive, dredging disturbs sediments that could lead to secondary contamination (Nayar et al., 2004; Knox et al., 2012). According to numerous studies (Föstner and Apitz 2007; Perelo 2010; Sun et al. 2015), in comparison to dredging, capping is expected to be far less expensive and a highly effective means of limiting the spread of pollution.

While several researchers have examined the use of reactive capping materials for inorganic and organic-contaminated sediments, the heavy metals in sediments have received little attention. The knowledge about in-situ capping is inadequate for heavy metal contaminated sediments (Akcil, 2015). Clay, gravel, zeolites, and activated carbon are the main materials used in today’s capping remediation techniques (Fadaei et al., 2015; Jacobs and Förstner, 1999).

This main objective of the study was to determine how to use kaolin, bentonite, and sand as capping materials to prevent contaminants (Cr and Cu) from migrating from the sediment to the water below. In order to determine if the active ingredients are effective at treating polluted sediments, the study will examine their performance. For the prevention and management of contaminated sediment risk, this study is anticipated to offer useful information. All of the experiments in this study were carried out in a flow condition with an impeller attached to the tank to simulate ocean waves, in contrast to the vast majority of prior research that was done under batch experimental conditions without flows, which appears to have limitations in field application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample of the excavated sediment for this experiment was taken at the sungai shoreline of Kuala Perlis estuary as shown in Figure 1.

With permission from the Malaysian Marine Department and the Dredging Contractor (Malaysian Maritime Dredging Company Sdn Bhd), the sample was taken at random using the Backhoe dredger at depths of 4–6 metres below sea level. The collected sediment was transported to the UTHM laboratory for analysis in sealed plastic containers. Any debris was collected, dried at room temperature, and then crushed using a porcelain mortar and pestle and a 1 mm sieve before sifting. After the samples were acid-digested according to Edgell (1989) (USEPA System 3050B), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (ELAN 9000, Perkin Elmer, USA) was used to measure the content of chromium and copper in the sediments. An X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer was used to evaluate the chemical composition of sediments, bentonite and kaolin.

The experimental setup for capping

A laboratory-scale capping experiment was used to measure the amount of heavy metals in the saltwater that was above the contaminated sediment. The experiment was conducted at the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environment,
Figure 1. The satellite image of the dredging site in Kuala Perlis, Malaysia, where sediment samples were taken.

Figure 2. An illustration of the experimental setup showing the sand, sediment, and capping material depths.

Figure 3. Experimental setup for sediment capping: A is the uncapped, polluted sediment (Control), B is sediment that has been covered in bentonite and sand (Sed+B+S), while C is sediment that has kaolin and sand on top (Sed+K+S) and D is sediment that has a composite cap (50:50) made of bentonite by kaolin and sand.
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. The goal of this study was to determine how the active materials for capping (kaolin, bentonite, and sand) affected the Cr and Cu release from contaminated coastal sediment. For this purpose, four glass tank models with similar dimensions (25 × 20 × 35 cm) were used. Each 5 cm thick layer of glass tank models had about 3.5 kg of polluted sediment at the bottom, followed by a 1 cm thick cover (700 g) of bentonite, kaolin, or sand. Each model was gently and carefully filled with sea water. A total of 7 litres of saltwater were utilised in each experiment.

The depth of each layer of contaminated sediment and capping materials is shown schematically in Figure 2. To imitate ocean wave turbulence, an impeller was placed at the upper mid of the glass tank, as illustrated in Figure 3.

To replicate sea wave turbulence and to stimulate mass transfer of pollutants from the sediment, the impeller was turned at a 100 revolutions speed per minute (rpm) for the period of 8 hours every day. A tachometer was used to measure the rpm. Four separate experimental setups were established to test the efficacy of the active materials used: bentonite, kaolin, and sand in preventing metal migration or release, as illustrated in Figure 3.

To test the effectiveness of kaolin, bentonite and sand in preventing metal migration or release, four separate experimental setups were developed: (i) Control (No capping) (ii) Bentonite cap of 1cm thickness + sand cap of 1cm thickness (iii) 1cm kaolin thickness cap + 1 cm sand thickness cap (iv) 1cm thick cap of a 1:1 mixture of kaolin + bentonite + 1 cm thick sand capping layer over the polluted sediment as presented in Figure 3. Each of the four models was carefully filled with approximately 7 litres of uncontaminated synthetic seawater. An aliquot part of 20 mL of the overlying water was randomly obtained from various sections of the glass tanks using a plastic syringe attached with a plastic tubing on every second day after the test began and then once a week throughout the length of the 90–day test duration.

The concentration of each Cr and Cu element in the surrounding water was examined for the entire period of 90 days of the experiment. To preserve the sample, it was filtered through a 0.45 m membrane filter and treated with 0.2 percent (v/v) concentrated HNO3. Using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), the amounts of heavy metals in the samples were assessed.

The diagram of the capping experiment

Figures 4–7 shows the diagrams of experimental set-up measurements. This method can be used to assess the impact of capping materials on the release and transformation of hazardous metals. Both sizes are in millimeters (mm).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The release of Cu and Cr to the water column above the contaminated sediment

Table 1 displays the average concentration release of the Cu and Cr obtained from the overlying
Figure 5. The image of the frontal view of bentonite + sand capping layer

Figure 6. The image of the frontal view of kaolin + sand capping layer

Figure 7. The image of the frontal view of kaolin + bentonite (50:50) + sand capping layer
Figure 8. Release of Cr and Cu during the course of a 90-day period in the control sample

Figure 9. Release of Cr and Cu during a 90 day period in the bentonite treated sample

Figure 10. Release of Cr and Cu during a 90-day period in the kaolin + sand treated sample

Figure 11. Release of Cr and Cu over a period of 90 days in the bentonite, kaolin and sand-treated sample
water column of the uncapped and capped sediment during the 90 days of experiment in relation to time. The overall release from the sediment of each pollutant constituted a modest percentage of the spiked material when taking into account the concentration of Cr and Cu observed in the sediment sample after its artificial contamination (3000 ppm). Only a small amount of chromium and copper were detected in the bentonite-capped sediment (Sed+B+S) and the mixture of bentonite and kaolin (Sed+(B+K)+S) over the course of the 90-day period. These concentrations were 0.158 mg/l and 0.293 mg/l for chromium and 0.145 mg/l and 0.118 mg/L for copper, respectively. Similarly, Figures 8–11 illustrate the average concentration release of the trace metals (Cr and Cu) obtained from the overlying water column of the uncapped and capped sediment during the course of the 90 days experiment duration as a function of time.

Though the concentration of the kaolin-treated sample is slightly higher than that of the other two treatments, when compared to the control sample, it is still lower as shown in table 1. Because kaolinite has a low percentage and a poor ability to adsorb metal ions, bentonite and/or mixtures of bentonite and kaolin (Sed+(B+K)+S) effectively contained the two Cr & Cu contaminants during the entire experiment. One of the factors contributing to its poor ability to adsorb metal ions was the low percentage of kaolinite (Jiang et al., 2010). Additionally, the prominent peaks found in the kaolinite clay as shown in Figure 12 appears at 2θ of 19.86°, 50.98°, 59.81°, 69.44° Halite at 2θ of 12.31°,19.94°, 35.68°, 62.59°, dickite at 2θ of 12.31°, 25.88°, 38.61°, 54.22°, 69.44° and Muscovite at 2θ of 28.60°, 45.40°.

This kaolinite mineral has a lower percentage of kaolinite clay than Turkish kaolinite clay, which has a higher purity of 83.0% kaolinite and a peak FWHM of 0.651 nm with an intensity of 1056. The basal spacing is 6.54, and the peak FWHM is 0.651 nm with this intensity (Sari et al., 2007). The basal spacing is 6.54 Å, and peak FWHM is 0.651 nm with an intensity of 1056, which indicates that a low percentage of kaolinite clay presented in this kaolin mineral compared to with a correspondingly upper purity of 83.0% kaolinite in the Turkish kaolinite clay (Sari et al., 2007).

The alkaline pH conditions were also a factor in the high rate of Cr and Cu immobilisation by bentonite and the mix of bentonite and kaolin covered sediment. Since negative surface charges predominate at neutral to alkaline pH, increasing the electrostatic attraction of cationic pollutants to surfaces of minerals, the adsorption of cations (Cr and Cu) in the surfaces of the clay mineral is maximum. On the adsorption surface sites, protons and cations compete when the pH is low. Because hydroxyl ions (OH-) are more prevalent, a high pH lowers competition and increases the number of binding sites (Huifen et al., 2011; Duan and Su, 2014). Cr and Cu hydroxides may also precipitate at extremely alkaline pH levels in addition to adsorption. Due to its abundance, great adsorption capacity, chemical and mechanical durability, and exceptional structural features, bentonite has a lot of potential as a cheap and efficient adsorbent for the application to remove heavy metals from sediments (Shi et al. 2011). Furthermore, according to Shi et al. (2011), the removal of metal ions from bentonite depends on ion exchange and adsorption mechanisms since the material has a high cation exchange capacity (CEC) and a large specific surface area. Combining clay minerals with kaolin may enable for the use of each individual adsorption properties (Han et al., 2019).
CONCLUSIONS

According to the findings of the study, bentonite and a bentonite-kaolin mixture greatly decreased the release of the contaminants under investigation. The release of Cu and Cr from the polluted sediments This study examined the viability of utilising bentonite, kaolin, or a combination of the two as materials for capping polluted sediment for in-situ remediation. In order to treat the Cu and Cr in the sediment, it was discovered that bentonite (B) and a mixture of bentonite and kaolin (BK) (1:1) were both successful. The pollutants are released from the polluted sediment in descending order as follows: Cu > Cr into the overlying water. Particularly, the cases of Cr and Cu, B and BK were successful in reducing the leachability of the contaminants from the sediments. The outcomes of capping treatment suggested that because of their significant Cu and Cr entrapment, B and BK might be used as promising materials for active capping treatment of polluted sites.
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