ABSTRACT

The standardized precipitation index (SPI), standardized reference evapotranspiration index (SEI) and standardized climatic water balance index (SCWBI) were used to analyze moisture conditions in the vegetation seasons of 1954–1995 in Łódź. SPI and SEI were calculated on the assumption that empirical monthly precipitation sums and monthly sums of reference evapotranspiration are gamma distributed. Because monthly sums of climatic water balance are normally distributed, they required standardization to SCWBI. The aim of study was to compare those three indexes (SPI, SEI and SCWBI) for years 1954–1995 in Łódź.
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INTRODUCTION

Climatic water balance (SCWBI) is an indicator defining environmental moisture conditions, otherwise actual water availability, with the use of meteorological data. Monitoring drought conditions in Poland has been in focus for years and the climatic water balance index has already been used by Rojek [1994], Farat et al. [1995], Kanecka-Geszke et al. [2007], Mizak et al. [2011], Wibig [2012] and Radzka 2014). Łabędzki and Bąk [2004] calculated the standardized climatic water balance with the use of reference evapotranspiration. The analysis of SCWBI and moisture conditions for Wrocław–Swojec region was already presented in our previous article [Gąsiorek et al. 2014]). The aim of this study was to compare the following three indexes: standardized precipitation index (SPI), standardized evapotranspiration index (SEI) and standardized climatic water balance index (SCWBI) for the vegetation seasons in 1954–1995 in Łódź.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

With the definition of reference crop as an actively growing and well watered green grass surface with a height of 0.12 m, having a surface resistance of 70 s·m\(^{-1}\) and an albedo of 0.23, reference evapotranspiration was calculated from the Penman-Monteith equation with modification by Allen [Allen et al. 1994a, 1994b] as:

\[
ET_0 = \frac{\Delta(R_n - G) + \rho c_p d / r_o}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + \frac{r_o}{r_a})} \cdot \frac{n}{28.34}
\]

The consistency of empirical distribution of monthly sums of evapotranspiration, precipitation and climatic water balance in the vegetation period for years 1954–1995 in Łódź was verified with the use of \(\chi^2\) test.

Then the estimators calculated by Thom [Thom H.C.S., 1958] with the maximum likelihood method were used as a scale and shape estimators of the gamma distribution. The mean sample and variance sample were used as the estimators of normal distribution parameters. Having fitted theoretical distributions to the empirical data, the SEI and SPI indices were estimated with the use of procedure calculating quantiles of standard normal distribution [Abramowitz et al. 1965].

Because monthly sums of climatic water balance are normally distributed, the standard-
ized climatic water balance index was calculated by the standardization of random variable CWB [Łabędzki et al. 2004]. A detailed description of the above mentioned methodology can be found in our previous publications [Gąsiorek et al. 2012; 2014].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of verification of the consistency hypothesis of gamma distribution for monthly precipitation sums and reference evapotranspiration, and the consistency hypothesis of normal distribution for monthly sums of CWB in the vegetation period in years 1954–1995 in Łódź, with the use of $\chi^2$ test, are shown in Table 1.

The values of indexes SPI, SEI and SCWBI, calculated for the following months of the vegetation season in years 1954-1995, are shown in Figure 1(a – f).

The analysis of relations between SPI, SEI and SCWBI indexes was shown by the example of vegetation season in 1981. For June 1981, the values were as follows: SPI = 1.04; SEI = -1.08, SCWBI = 1.08. July was characterized by the indexes: SPI = 0.62; SEI = -0.58, SCWBI = 0.54. The August values were: SPI = 0.57.04; SEI = -0.71; SCWBI = 0.52.

The detailed analysis of Figures 1a to 1f and the data from Table 2 led to the classification shown in Table 3. The relations seen in the figures point at the following rule: an extremely dry month according to SPI (SPI $\leq$ -2.0) has the SEI value $\geq$ 2. Likewise, a wet month (0.5$\leq$ SPI $<1.50$) is characterized by the SEI value: -1.50$< $ SEI $\leq$-0.50.

The inverse relation between SPI and SEI in evaluation of moisture conditions confirms that increasing precipitation diminishes the ability of atmosphere, lying over the evaporating surface, to absorb water vapour. Such an ability is assessed by the reference evapotranspiration.

The above-mentioned relations are biased with random error and the consistency evaluation, shown in Table 4, confirms it. The analysis of figures also revealed that the SPI and SCWBI values for the following months of the vegetation season are similar, thus the assessment of moisture conditions with precipitation sums gives nearly identical classification as in the case of climatic water balance, provided the following meteorological data are taken into account: air moisture

Table 1. Verification of the consistency hypothesis of gamma distribution for monthly precipitation sums and reference evapotranspiration and the consistency hypothesis of normal distribution for monthly sums of CWB in the vegetation season in years 1954–1995 for Łódź

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Inference evapotranspiration</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Climatic water balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shape-α</td>
<td>scale-β</td>
<td>shape-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>52.31</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>33.67</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Classification of precipitation conditions according to the standardized precipitation index (SPI) and corresponding probabilities [McKee et al. 1993, 1995; Łabędzki 2006a]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPI</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Probabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPI $\leq$-2.0</td>
<td>extremely dry</td>
<td>P(SPI $\leq$-2) = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.00$&lt; $SPI$\leq$-1.50</td>
<td>very dry</td>
<td>P(-2$&lt; $SPI$\leq$-1.5) = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.50$&lt; $SPI$\leq$-0.50</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>P(-1.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$-0.5) = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>P(-0.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$0.5) = 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$1.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>P(0.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$1.5) = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$2</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>P(1.5$&lt; $SPI$\leq$2) = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI $\geq$2</td>
<td>extremely wet</td>
<td>P(SPI $\geq$2) = 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Classification of precipitation conditions according to the standardized reference evapotranspiration index (SEI) and corresponding probabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEI</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Probabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEI $\leq$-2.0</td>
<td>extremely wet</td>
<td>P(SEI $\leq$-2) = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.00$&lt; $SEI$\leq$-1.50</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>P(-2$&lt; $SEI$\leq$-1.5) = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.50$&lt; $SEI$\leq$-0.50</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>P(-1.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$-0.5) = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>P(-0.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$0.5) = 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$1.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>P(0.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$1.5) = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$2</td>
<td>very dry</td>
<td>P(1.5$&lt; $SEI$\leq$2) = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI $\geq$2</td>
<td>extremely dry</td>
<td>P(SEI $\geq$2) = 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Consistency assessment of coefficients SPI, SEI and SCWBI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Percent of identical classification</th>
<th>Percent of identical or neighbor classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPI-SEI</td>
<td>SEI-SCWBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Precipitation conditions classification according to SPI and SCWBI in Łódź in June in the years 1954–1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SPI</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>SCWBI</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>1 – the same classification</th>
<th>0 – neighbor classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>very wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>extremely wet</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>extremely wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>extremely dry</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>extremely dry</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>extremely dry</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Comparison of SPI, SEI and SCWBI for Łódź (1954–1995) in months:
  a) April, b) May, c) June, d) July, e) August, f) September
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deficit, actual sun exposure, air temperature and wind speed.

The assessment of SPI and SEI consistency in identification of monthly periods from extremely dry to extremely wet with the use of proposed methods was put into Table 4. The assessment of SPI, SEI and SCWBI consistency was performed by the identification of percentage of identical classifications, as well as percentage of identical and neighbouring classifications.

The analysis of data from Table 4 reveals that in the analyzed period 1954–1995 in Łódź, the consistency between SPI and SCWBI values fluctuated from 70% in April to 79% in June. When the neighbouring classifications were taken into account, this consistency has risen to the values from 93% in April to 98% in June. In order to prove the similarity of SPI and SCWBI values in the analyzed months of vegetation season, the exemplary classification for June was shown in Table 5.

The consistency of identification in June in years 1954–1995 has reached 79%. The relations among SPI, SEI and SCWBI are best described by the values of correlation coefficient between these indexes, put into Table 6.

The values of correlation coefficient confirm the relations shown in figures 1a to 1f. Relations between SEI and SPI, as well as between SEI and SCWBI, are negative. Thus, the elevation of one index is accompanied of the decrease of the other. Contrarily, the relation between SPI and SCWBI is positive and nearing 100%, which proves the very close similarity of the values of both indexes.

Table 6. Correlation coefficient between SPI, SEI and SCWBI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>SPI-SEI</th>
<th>SEI-SCWBI</th>
<th>SPI-SCWBI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

distributed. Monthly sums of climatic water balance in the analyzed period are normally distributed.

2. Standardized precipitation and standardized climatic water balance indexes (SPI and SCWBI) identify moisture conditions during the vegetation season in years 1954–1995 in a very similar way, which is confirmed by the evaluation of compliance of these indexes and the value of the correlation coefficient between them.

3. The analysis of relations between the analyzed indexes revealed an inverse relation between SEI and SPI, as well as between SEI and SCWBI. The values of correlation coefficient between SEI and SPI, as well as between SEI and SCWBI, are negative, signifying the decrease trend.
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