INTRODUCTION

The main copper raw materials in the world are polymetallic sulphides, the processing of which is carried out mostly by pyrometallurgical processes. However, this technology has some drawbacks, the analysis of which is considered in the paper [Mushkatin, 2009].

Despite the prevalence of pyrometallurgical processes, the global copper production by implementing hydrometallurgical approach increases. The development works for this research trend are actively conducted both abroad [Schlesinger et al., 2011; Lundstrom et al., 2005] and in Russia [Tcapakh et al., 2012; Khomchenko et al., 2014; Altushkin et al., 2017].

Thus, "Outotec" company developed the hydrometallurgical processing technology of copper ore polymetallic sulphide concentrate with the following composition, in %: Cu – 29.6; Fe – 30.6; Zn – 2.18; Pb – 0.38; S – 33.4. The concentrate is subjected to leaching by the Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl\(^-\) – Cl\(^-\) – CO – H\(_2\)O solution at the atmospheric pressure and a temperature of 95ºС in five consecutive reactors. The copper and iron leaching occurs in line with the following formulas [Valkamak, 2013]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CuFeS}_2(s) + 3\text{Cu}^{2+}(aq.) & \rightarrow 4\text{Cu}^+(aq.) + \\
& + \text{Fe}^{2+}(aq.) + 2\text{S}^0(s)
\end{align*}
\]  

\[
\begin{align*}
4\text{Cu}^+(aq.) + \text{O}_2(g) + 4\text{H}^+(aq.) & \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow 4\text{Cu}^{2+}(aq.) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(aq)
\end{align*}
\]

In the process of leaching, iron precipitates at the expense of hydrolysis with acid regeneration in accordance with the reaction (1), which takes part in copper (I) oxidation according to formulas (2). Recovery to solution is as follows, in %: Cu > 95; Ag – 93–94; Zn > 97.

The obtained solution is subjected to 3-stage extraction with subsequent 2-stage washing and 2-stage re-extraction. As a result of extraction, the pure CuSO\(_4\) electrolyte with copper content ~50 g/l, by ~20 mg of Zn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) and ~10 mg/l Cl\(^-\) – is obtained. This solution is sent to electrolysis with insoluble anode. The process is conducted up to Cu(II) impoverishment to 35 g/l with H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) concentration increase up to 180 g/l. As a result, the LME A grade cathode copper is obtained.

However, the hydrometallurgical copper production also has some drawbacks: insufficient
CuSO₄ electrolyte purification; high specific electricity consumption during the electrolysis with insoluble anodes; the necessity of copper (II) transition from oxide to sulphate form when leaching sulphide copper concentrates. This stipulates the interest in the alternative chemical method of pure copper production, the advantage of which is the possibility of cost-effective commercial isolation of its high-purity compound from multicomponent solutions resulting from concentrates and intermediate product leaching. The technology proposed by the "Outotec“ company developers includes: oxidation leaching of sulphide concentrate by Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl₂ – NaCl solution; four-stage purification of the obtained multicomponent chloride-sulphate solution from impure metals (Zn, Ni, Pb and others) and sulphate-ions; obtaining of copper (I) oxide – Cu₂O from copper (I) chloride solution, obtaining of copper powder as a result of copper (I) oxide reduction by hydrogen in conveyor furnace at a temperature of 650–800°C [Haavanlammi et al., 2011].

These technology solutions cannot be acknowledged as fully successful because of the large number of process stages, but copper (I) chloride is undoubtedly worth noticing by process engineers as an intermediate product in the processing chain of high-purity copper chemical production.

METHODS

The technological capabilities of copper (I) chloride are determined by the aggregate of its physicochemical properties, such as:

- good extractability of chloro complex CuCl₂ by solvents and reagents;
- the possibility of CuCl purification by recrystallization from NaCl solution;
- direct copper production from CuCl by the effect of producer gas, containing CO and H₂ at the expense of the following process:

\[
CuCl \rightarrow +CO,H₂O \rightarrow Cu + HCl + CO₂ \rightarrow Cu + HCl \quad (3)
\]

- hydrolytic decomposition under the influence of water or steam:

\[
2CuCl + H₂O \rightarrow Cu₂O + 2HCl \quad (4)
\]

The resulting copper (I) oxide is a technologically convenient product for obtaining both pure electrolyte and for its regeneration after electrolysis with insoluble anode at the expense of the following reaction:

\[
\frac{1}{2}Cu₂O + \frac{1}{2}O₂ + 2H₂SO₄ = 2CuSO₄ + 2H₂O \quad (5)
\]

Thereby, the aggregate of copper (I) chloride physicochemical properties permits using this compound for the development of hydrometallurgical technology for copper production from its concentrates and intermediate products with the use of both chemical and electrochemical reduction. The main stage should be the technologically and economically effective process of pure copper (I) chloride recovery from multicomponent solutions, based on copper (II) reduction to copper (I) by CO effect with the relevant pressure [Fedoseev 2012, 2016].

RESULTS

Let us consider the theoretical basis for the hydrocarbonyl process of copper (II) reduction to copper (I) in the Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl⁻ – CO – H₂O system.

Carbon monoxide is thermodynamically capable of reducing Cu(II) to Cu(I) and Cu(0). This way, for the following reactions:

\[
2Cu^{2+} + CO + H₂O \rightarrow 2Cu^{2+} + CO₂ + 2H^+ \quad \Delta G^\circ = -24 \text{ kJ} \quad (6)
\]

\[
Cu^{2+} + CO + H₂O \rightarrow Cu^0 + CO₂ + 2H^+ \quad \Delta G^\circ = -85 \text{ kJ} \quad (7)
\]

Nevertheless, these procedures are not executed under normal conditions because of the high carbon-oxygen bond strength in the CO molecule. The reaction (6) turned out to be successful only at the CO pressure of 10–40 atm and t = 160–190°C from the CuSO₄ solution. In the Cu(II) – Cl⁻ solution, the reaction (6) may execute at gas room temperature and under the atmospheric pressure, if a small amount of palladium (II) chloride is introduced. The kinetic curve of Cu(II) → Cu(I) reduction process is shown in Figure 1. Solution composition is: [Pd(II)] = 5 mg/l; [CuCl₂] = 0.826M; [HCl] = 1.2M. On the
other hand, the processing conditions are as follows: $t = 19^\circ \text{C}$; $\text{PCO} = \text{atmospheric}$.

A rather lengthy period of reduction process induction, which shortens when introducing copper (I) chloride to the initial solution, should be noted.

In order to determine the copper (II) reduction process, the mechanism in the Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl$^-$ – CO – H$_2$O system, the tests pertaining to the influence of the [Cu(I)]:[Cu(II)] ratio on copper (II) reduction ratio to copper (I) (Fig. 2) and the value of light absorption in Cu(II) – Cu(I) isomolar solutions (Fig. 3) were conducted.

The composition of initial solution is: [Cu(II)] = 20 g/l; [HCl] = 3 M; The processing conditions are as follows: $t = 50^\circ \text{C}$; $\text{PCO} = \text{atmospheric}$. The highest values of Cu(II) $\rightarrow$ Cu(I) reduction ratios and light absorption in Cu(II) – Cu(I) isomolar solutions are observed at the [Cu(I)]:[Cu(II)] ratios of 1:2 and 1:1. The copper (I) function in the copper (II) reduction mechanism is associated with the fact that its chloro complex – CuCl$_2$ – interacts with CO molecules quickly, forming carbonyl chloride anions with CuI(CO)$_n$ cations, where $n = 1–3$. The latter reacts with copper (II) ions and forms the intermediates with CO-bridge bond between the Cu(I) and Cu(II) ions: CuI(CO)CuII and CuI(CO)$_2$CuII. Under the influence of water in these intermediates, the intraspheric redox process takes place:

$$\text{CO} + H_2O = CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$

$$2\text{Cu(II)} + 2e^- = 2\text{Cu(I)}$$

$$2\text{Cu(II)} + \text{CO} + H_2O = 2\text{Cu(I)} + \text{CO}_2 + 2H^+$$

The CO molecules form part of carbonyl complexes composition, chemical activity of which is notably higher. Thus, in the Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl$^-$ – CO – H$_2$O systems the copper (I) carbonyl complexes serve as a reducer, and the process of Cu(II) $\rightarrow$ Cu(I) reduction is autocatalytic.

In Table 1 the test results of temperature effect on copper (II) reduction to copper (I) in the process of hydrocarbonylation are shown. Solution composition, g/l: CuSO$_4$ – 116.5; NiSO$_4$ – 63.5; NaCl – 40.0; H$_2$SO$_4$ – 108.
The treatment environment was: PCO – atmospheric, CO consumption is 0.12 litre per 1 litre of solution per minute. $W = f(T)$ relationship indicates that the process should be held at $t \leq 50^\circ C$, because its further increase has no notable effect on reduction ratio that is associated with the decrease of copper (I) carbonyl chloride stability with temperature increase. Besides copper (II), reduction ratio substantially increases along with the specific gas discharge, but at the same time the CO utilization rate decreases. With the carbon monoxide content in process gases at the level of 30% by volume, the copper reduction rate is $\sim 0.5\%$ min.

Important indicators of the hydrocarbonyl process technological capabilities for multicomponent solutions processing to high purity copper, shown in Figure 4, are copper (II) reduction degree to copper (I) and completeness of its deposition. These indicators are determined by the redox potential value in the system of Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl and chloride ion concentration, and depend on, as shown in our studies, the Cl:Cu(II) ratio of molar concentrations in the initial solution. The higher this value is, the greater the copper (II) reduction ratio, but the lower the copper (I) deposition degree.

The test results analysis showed that the use of producer gases permits recovery to sedimentary material of up to 95% of copper from multicomponent solution in 3 hours at the temperature of $t = 40–50^\circ C$ and the $[Cl^-]:[Cu(II)]$ ratio in the initial solution of $\leq 1.5$.

![Fig. 3. Absorption (A) change of solutions in the process of Cu(II) → Cu(I) reduction by carbon monoxide: 1 – $\lambda = 400$ nm; 2 – $\lambda = 490$ nm.](image)

![Table 1. Relationship between the reduction ratio ($W$) of Cu(II) to Cu(I) and temperature during hydrocarbonylation](table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$, $^\circ C$</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W$, %·min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of copper (I) chloride to metal processing](diagram)
DISCUSSION

The results of chemical and technological studies of processes, executing in the Cu(II) – Cu(I) – Cl – CO – H₂O system, justify the new processing technology of copper-bearing intermediate products multicomponent leaching solutions for high purity copper production in the form of powder or cathodic metal. In this case, only one cheap reagent, one or another carbon monoxide containing producer gas, is used. The technology is based on a hydrocarbonylation process, consisting in the initial solution treatment by producer gas at the atmospheric pressure and the temperature of 20–50°C, which results in the copper settling out in the form of pure salt – copper (I) chloride. The duration of process cycle is cannot exceed 10 hours at low specific power consumption and high purity product copper. High cost-effectiveness of such production is obvious. If necessary, the copper powder can be processed to very high purity cathodic metal.

CONCLUSION

Copper (I) chloride should be considered as a key intermediate product of the new technological solution of copper concentrates and other intermediate products of multi-component leaching solutions for high purity copper via chemical or electrochemical reduction. If a technological necessity of complete copper removal from the initial solution arises, as in the case of copper-nickel intermediate products processing, the filtrate after the CuCl isolation can be treated by nickel powder or its sulphide. The initial intermediate product leaching process should be organized in such a way that the molar concentrations ratio of chloride-ions to copper ions in the obtained solution does not exceed 1.5.
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