# JEE Journal of Ecological Engineering

Journal of Ecological Engineering, 25(12), 402–410 https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/195212 ISSN 2299–8993, License CC-BY 4.0 Received: 2024.09.16 Accepted: 2024.10.26 Published: 2024.11.01

# Catalytic Gasification of Empty Oil Palm Fruit Bunches Using Iron and Aluminum Metal Pillared Bentonite Catalysts to Produce Environmentally Friendly Fuel Gas

Sisnayati<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Said<sup>2</sup>, Ria Komala<sup>1</sup>, Hendra Dwipayana<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Faizal<sup>4\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Tamansiswa Palembang, Jl. Tamansiswa No. 261, 20 Ilir D. I, Ilir Timur I, Kota Palembang, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup> Chemistry Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih Km 32 Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, Sumatera Selatan, 30662, Indonesia
- <sup>3</sup> Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Tamansiswa Palembang, Jl. Tamansiswa No. 261, 20 Ilir D. I, Ilir Tim. I, Kota Palembang, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia
- <sup>4</sup> Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih Km 32 Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, Sumatera Selatan, 30662, Indonesia
- \* Corresponding author's e-mail: muhammadfaizal@unsri.ac.id

# ABSTRACT

Indonesia is a country that has abundant natural resources such as palm oil, coal and so on. These two types of coal mining and palm oil plantation sectors have provided many benefits for community welfare. The aims of research is to utilize palm oil industry waste in the form of empty fruit bunch (EFB) and fine coal waste (FCW) into synthetic gas (syngas) through a catalytic gasification process which is then used as a source of energy and/ or synthesis chemicals. Gasification temperatures are 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C. Gasification time 20, 30 and 40 minutes. The ratio between pillared bentonite catalyst and EFB is 0.125 and 0.25. The resulting syngas is analyzed for the content of H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO gases. At gasification temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the H<sub>2</sub>/ CO gas ratio is 1.90; 2.05 and 2.27. The increase in this ratio is not very significant, because the increase in the H<sub>2</sub>/ CO ratio increases only 19.47% from a temperature of 450 °C to a temperature of 650 °C. The comparison value between combustible gas (H<sub>2</sub>, CO and CH<sub>2</sub>) and non-combustible gas (CO<sub>2</sub>), namely the CG/NCG ratio shows the quality of a syngas. The higher the CG/NCG value, the better syngas is as a gas fuel because it has a higher heating value. The CG/NCG value at a temperature of 450 °C is 14.18, while at a temperature of 550 °C it is 17.16 and at 650 °C it is 21.41. With an increase in temperature from 450 °C to 650 oC the increase in CG/NCG value is 51%. Therefore, by increasing the CG/NCG value, the quality of the syngas will increase and the calorific value of burning the syngas will improve. The HHV value at a temperature of 450 °C is 8.34 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, at a temperature of 550 °C is 12.32 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup> and at a temperature of 650 °C is 15.53 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Thus, increasing the temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is an increase in HHV of 86.21%. This result is economically beneficial because the increase in calorific value will increase the benefits of syngas. The higher the HHV value, the better the syngas is used as gas fuel. The HHV value at a temperature of 450 °C is 7.49 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, at a temperature of 550 °C is 11.06 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup> and at a temperature of 650 °C is 13.91 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Thus, by increasing the gasification temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is also an increase in LHV of 85.71%, meaning it has increased quite significantly. When compared with the use of 0.125% catalyst, the increase in catalyst concentration of 0.25% is smaller than 91.90%. From the experimental results it can be concluded that increasing temperature will improve the quality of syngas. Improving the quality of syngas can be done through the syngas enrichment process through the conversion of CO, gas reacting with carbon to produce CO gas. The concentration of CO, gas can be reduced by absorbing CO, gas by the  $Ca(OH)_2$  solution.

Keywords: gasification, benthonite, metal pillared syngas.

### INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is a country that has abundant natural resources such as palm oil, coal and so on. South Sumatra Province is one of the largest oil palm and coal producing areas. These two types of coal mining and palm oil plantation sectors have provided many benefits for community welfare. However, these two types of activities will produce waste which will have a negative impact if not controlled. Through science and technology input, these negative impacts can be reduced and can even have positive impacts. The palm oil industry processes fresh fruit bunches (FFB) to produce crude palm oil (CPO). This industry also generates large amounts of solid waste in the form of lignocellulosic compound residues. Palm oil FFB contains around 21% palm oil, the remainder includes 23% EFB [1]. Total CPO production in Indonesia reached 45.581 million tons with a land area of 14.99 million Ha [2]. In 2022, the amount of EFB waste was around 10.483 million tons. EFB contains the main components of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin which are C5 and C6 polymers. EFB has a very high water content of up to 60% [3] due to the ripening process to separate the fruit. Direct use of EFB as fuel will increase the risk of air pollution because it produces carbon monoxide gas due to incomplete combustion [4]. EFB in several industries only piles up empty bunches in the open area of the factory for quite a long time and this will cause greenhouse gases. The obstacles or challenges that will be faced in implementing gasification on a large scale in Indonesia are the competition for the use of raw materials as an energy source in the boiler to generate electricity through combustion.

Fine coal waste (FCW) is residue/solid waste generated from the coal processing process with particle sizes  $\leq 0.5 \text{ mm}$  [5]. FCW produces 30% of total coal production. Indonesia is one of the largest coal producers in the world, where in 2023, according to the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, total coal production in Indonesia reached 775.2 million tons, so FCW in Indonesia was 232.56 million tons. In the mining industry, FCW is dumped into ponds and this will cause environmental problems so it needs to be utilized.

Therefore, this proposed research is to utilize palm oil industry waste in the form of EFB and FCW into synthetic gas (syngas) through a catalytic gasification process which is then used as a source of energy and/or synthesis chemicals such as methanol, diameter ether and others. The catalyst used is bentonite which is widely available in Indonesia. This bentonite will be activated and pillared by the metal. Syngas obtained from gasification will be enriched and purified so that high quality syngas will be obtained (high levels of  $H_2$ , CO and  $CH_4$ ).

#### Biomass as a fuel source

Biomass is organic material produced from green plants, which can be obtained from the photosynthesis process, which ultimately forms biomass [6]. In Indonesia, the potential for biomass as an energy source can reach 49 GW, it can be used as renewable biofuel. Biomass is very profitable because it is very abundant and can be converted into bioenergy. Utilization of bioenergy can reduce the use of fossil energy and achieve national energy security [7]. Several studies have been carried out on the use of EFB, such as for briquette raw materials, bioethanol using hydrolysis and fermentation processes [8,9] which produces around 74.43% ethanol. EFB is also used as a catalyst in making biodiesel from CPO to produce 91% biodiesel [10]. EFB is converted into fuel through fast pyrolysis and liquefaction. The obstacle faced in the conversion of EFB to bio-oil is that it is susceptible to the formation of carbon deposits and is more corrosive than petroleum [11]. EFB can be converted into gas fuel through gasification, using a gasification medium. Chew et al. [12] used CO, and steam for the gasification process of EFB that had been corrected. EFB is combined with sewage sludge using the SCWG method at 400 °C and 25 MPa [13]. The research results show that the addition of EFB has a synergistic effect on syngas production from sewage sludge and EFB in supercritical water.

#### Fine coal waste

According to Borowski and Hycnar [14], fine coal waste (FCW) has a fine coal calorific value ranging from 20–23 MJ/kg with an ash content of 58 to 69%, while fixed carbon and sulfur according to Parzentny and Róg, [15] respectively amount to 21.52% and 1.13%. In the metal industry, cement and small-scale power generation companies, FCW is used as raw material for making briquettes for energy fuel and poses a risk of causing air pollution by releasing  $CO_2$ gas, sulfur and fly ash. The high ash content in FCW is dominated by silica and alumina minerals as the main mineral components in fine coal [16]. FCW is generated in *coal mining and coal stockpile*.

#### Gasification

Gasification is the process of changing carbon raw materials such as biomass, coal, into thermochemical gases (CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) which have high calorific value [17] with the help of a gasification medium. in the form of air, steam, oxygen, carbon dioxide or a mixture of them [18, 19]. The resulting gas is called synthesis gas or synthetic gas or syngas. This syngas can be used for fuel, electricity generation and raw materials for chemical manufacturing processes in industry [20]. The composition of the gas produced is influenced by several factors such as the type of raw material, operating conditions, type of gasifier and gasification medium. The success of the gasification process is also assessed from the lower heating value (LHV) of the gas, carbon conversion efficiency (CCE) and cold gas efficiency (CGE) [12]. The CO content increases slowly with a range of 20–30 mole% at 450–650 °C. When the gasification temperature reaches 700 °C, the CO concentration is reduced by 6.5 mole% to 19 mole% at the end of the process [21]

#### Stages of the gasification process

In a gasifier, 4 sub processes occur, namely heating and drying, pyrolysis, oxidation or combustion and reduction. The H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> content in the gas increases while the CO and CH<sub>4</sub> content decreases as the steam to biomass (S/B) ratio increases. The performance of gasification using air is very dependent on temperature and equivalent ratio (ER). Oxygen is often used as a gasification medium because of its ability to produce gases of moderate calorific value compared to air, where the carbon conversion efficiency and H<sub>2</sub> and CO content are higher [22]. Oxygen is often combined with steam as a gasification medium by Sharma and Sheth [23] and Ismail et al. [24].

#### **Catalytic gasification**

The use of catalysts in gasification can increase reaction speed and reduce operating temperatures. Catalysts can break atomic bonds in molecules which causes the molecules to split and have a charge [25, 26]. Several types of heterogeneous catalysts are used for biomass gasification such as the metals Ni, Pd, Ru, Rh, Pt, Ni and also Co [26]. The use of the NiO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-CaO catalyst in EFB supercritical gasification increases hydrogen and reduces CO<sub>2</sub> along with the addition of the catalyst [25]. Our research group has carried out EFB gasification at a temperature of 350-550 °C using a natural bentonite catalyst, which was thermally activated at a temperature of 400 °C for 3 hours, producing syngas with an H<sub>2</sub> content of 27.74% mol, CO 20.43% mol at a temperature of 550 °C. The heating values of HHV and LHV are 3.38-12.79 MJ/Nm3 and 3.03-12.58MJ/Nm3 respectively. Maximum carbon conversion efficiency (CCE) and coal gas efficiency (CGE) are 85.49% and 82.34% respectively [27]. The results of this research are not yet optimal, so the proposed research is needed using a metal pillared bentonite catalyst, followed by an enrichment and purification process. The use of 12.5% wt natural zeolite as a catalyst in EFB gasification has also been carried out at temperatures of 350-550 °C. The best results occurred at 550 °C with a composition of 22.64% vol CH4, 29.22% vol CO, and 3.4% vol H<sub>2</sub>, with CGE and CGE values of 95.74% and 81.65% respectively. [28]. We researchers have also carried out FCW catalytic gasification using bentonite (catalyst/FCW ratio 0-0.25) at 550-750 °C). The highest composition was obtained at 750 °C, with a composition of 42.6 vol% H<sub>2</sub>, 19.1vol% CO, 19.5 vol% CH<sub>4</sub>, and 7.9 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>. The best performance is if the catalyst/FCW ratio is 0.25 where the composition is 54.3vol% H2, 26.2vol% CO, 23.8 vol% CH<sub>4</sub>, and 3.5vol% CO<sub>2</sub>, the heating value and gasification efficiency are respectively 19.72 MJ/ Nm<sup>3</sup> and 72.27% at 750 °C [29]. Syngas purification has also been carried out where CO<sub>2</sub> gas is absorbed by the Ca(OH), solution with an absorption percentage of 50.63% [30].

#### Natural catalyst for the gasification process

Natural bentonite is a lamellar aluminosilicate mesoporous material that has a crystalline structure. The interlayer space in the structure is occupied by replaceable cations, for example Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>+2</sup> [31]. The characteristics of bentonite depend on the amount of smectite. The properties of smectite namely cation exchange capacity, particle size, pore structure, adsorption properties, surface area and catalytic activity are greatly influenced by thermal treatment [32]. Bentonite from smectite, especially montmorillonite (80-90%wt) which when montmorillonite comes into contact with water can expand several times its original volume [33]. Calcium bentonite was proven to be a catalyst for plastic pyrolysis [34]. Bentonite is able to increase bio-oil yield compared to other natural clays and synthetic zeolites in switchgrass pyrolysis [35]. According to The Indonesia Mine and Coal Research and Development Center 2017, the current confirmed reserves of bentonite in Indonesia is estimated at the total number of 380 million tons.

Bentonite can act as a catalyst in thermochemical processes such as pyrolysis [35], cracking [36], biodiesel manufacturing processes [37, 38] and gasification. In the pyrolysis process, the addition of bentonite can increase the yield [39]. This statement is supported by Sewu et al. [40] that bentonite increases bio oil up to 35% from microalgae pyrolysis. Bentonite can act as a solid base catalyst in the conversion of glucose to lactic acid [41] which is from 5% to 11%. Ro et al. [42] stated that bentonite has a positive effect on the pyrolysis of lignin to produce low char. Bentonite contains metal oxides that are rich in SiO<sub>2</sub> so that it has an oxygenate removal effect and an inhibitory effect on coke formation on the catalyst [39]. Research on catalytic cracking in transformer oil by Kar et al. [43] provided significant results using bentonite as a catalyst. From a yield of 92.09% wt of oil without catalyst, there was an increase in yield to 98.64% wt (with a ratio of 4% bentonite). Gasification using steam has the potential for the production of hydrogen-enriched syngas, especially with a CaO catalyst and at temperatures suitable for high H2 production [44, 45, 46]. Syngas from biomass steam gasification with a CaO catalyst is increasingly enriched in H<sub>2</sub> [47], where the higher the temperature, cellulose content and hydrogencarbon ratio and steam to biomass (S/B) ratio, the higher the hydrogen production. The use of CaO causes CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption (CaO + CO<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and reduces the partial pressure, which is favorable for the WGS reaction to increase  $H_{2}$  [45].

#### Kinetic model of gasification

In order to understand the entire gasification process, it is very important to analyze the reaction kinetics and thermodynamics. Some methods, for example, use triplet kinetic parameters which can be calculated from thermogravimetric analysis. Where the change in mass with increasing temperature at a certain heating rate is measured [47]. The triplet kinetic parameters consist of activation energy, pre-exponential factor, and reaction model [48–50]

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

### **Gasification procedure**

Gasifier (fixed bed type), cylindrical form of stainless steel. The gasifier is insulated to avoid heat loss. The gasifier is equipped with two flow pipes to enter the gasification agent and an output pipe for the synthetic gas product. 5 kg of fine EFB waste is added from the feed hole. A flowmeter is installed to measure the flow rate of the gasification agent (air/oxygen). The air/ oxygen flow rate is set at 10 l/minute. The pressure of gasifcation process is atmospheric. In this gratification process, the experimental parameters are gasification time and gasification temperature. Gasification temperatures are 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C. Gasification time 20, 30 and 40 minutes. The ratio between pillared bentonite catalyst and EFB is 0.125 and 0.25. The resulting syngas is analyzed for the content of H2, CO,  $CH_4$  and CO gases, and other hydrocarbon organic compounds.

# FCW carbonization

The fine coal used is under 3 mm in size and is supplied by coal mining at PTBA. Carbonization temperature is 500 °C for 1 hour. The carbon obtained is used for enrichment, syngas. Syngas obtained from the gasifier is reacted with carbon from coal, so that CO<sup>2</sup> gas is converted into CO gas. at temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C. Syngas resulting from the enrichment process is analyzed for H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas content. Syngas from the enrichment process is purified (purified) using a lime solution (CaO + H<sub>2</sub>O → Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) in a reactor/absorber. CO<sub>2</sub> will react with the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution to form a CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitate. This purified syngas is then analyzed for gas content H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the experimental results of the gasification process in the form of syngas

Temperature, °C	Syngas					Othor gas	CG/		Heat value	
	H <sub>2</sub>	СО	CH4	CO <sub>2</sub>	Total	Other gas	NCG	п <sub>2</sub> /СО	HHV (MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup> )	LHV (MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
450	23.5	12	7.9	3.1	46.5	53.5	14.00	1.96	7.66	6.88
550	33.1	15.7	12	3.21	64.01	35.99	18.94	2.11	10.99	9.86
650	46.2	18.9	16.1	3.79	84.99	15.01	21.42	2.44	14.70	13.14

**Table 1.** Syngas composition,  $H_2$ /CO ratio value, CG/NCG ratio, and syngas combustion value both HHV and LHV, in the EFB gasification process using 0.125% metal pillared bentonite catalyst

composition,  $H^2/CO$  ratio value, CG/NCG ratio, and syngas combustion value both HHV and LHV, in the EFB gasification process using a 0.125% metal pillared bentonite catalyst.

Composition in units of mole percent (%mole) of synthesis gas components (H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> and other gases) formed from the EFB catalytic gasification process using metal pillared bentonite (concentration 0.125% by mass), at a gasification temperature of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C. From the results of this research, there was an increase in the concentration of each synthetic gas (syngas) with increasing temperature. At a temperature of 650 °C, at a temperature of 650 °C the concentration of H<sub>2</sub> gas reaches 46.2% mol, CO gas reaches 18.9% mol, CH<sub>4</sub> gas reaches 16.1% mol and CO gas reaches 3.79% mol. Meanwhile, other gases were the lowest at 15.01% mol. The calorific value of this synthetic gas is determined by the concentration of combustible gas, namely H<sub>2</sub>, CO and CH<sub>4</sub> gas. It is hoped that by increasing the concentration of combustible gas, the calorific value of the syngas obtained will be better. From the results of this research, the comparison of combustible gas with non-combustible gas reached the highest value, namely 21.42 at a gasification temperature of 650 °C. By increasing the gasification temperature, the endothermic reaction will shift to the right of the reaction equation so that it will increase the combustibility of the gas as in the reaction:

- $C + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + H_2$  (Reforming char),
- $CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$  (Water gas shift reaction),
- $C + 2H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4$  (Methanation reaction),
- $H_2 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$  (Hydrogen oxidation),
- $CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2$  (Steam and methane reaction),
- $CH_4 + CO_2 \leftrightarrow 2CO + 2H_2$  (Dry reforming methane).

The formation of hydrogen gas occurs due to the right-hand reaction from the char reforming reaction, the water gas shift reaction, the reaction between  $CH_4$  and water vapor and from the dry reforming reaction of methane. On the other hand, hydrogen gas decreases when methanation reactions and hydrogen oxidation reactions occur. The increase in syngas at a temperature of 650 °C reached 84.99%.

The formation and/or increase in carbon monoxide gas occurs from partial oxidation reactions, Boudouard reactions, steam formation from methane and dry reforming of methane, while the decrease in  $H_2$  gas is caused by the water gas shift reaction.

The concentration of CO gas, which is also a combustible gas, increases with increasing temperature. At temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the CO concentration was 12% mol, 15.7% mol, 18.9% mol or an increase of 57.5%, respectively, from a temperature of 450 °C to 650 °C. Based on data for methane gas  $(CH_4)$ , the concentration of this gas increased from 7.9 mole% at a temperature of 450 °C to 16.1 mole% at a temperature of 650 °C, which means an increase of 103.8%. This increase is quite significant. The formation of methane gas is triggered by the methanation reaction, while the decrease in methane gas is caused by the reaction of steam and methane as well as the methane dry reforming reaction. In the gasification process, the presence of  $CO_2$  gas is not desired or is kept to a minimum. This CO<sub>2</sub> gas cannot be burned (non-combustible). For this reason, the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> gas can be converted into combustible CO gas through the Boudouard reaction (CO<sub>2</sub> + C  $\rightarrow$  2CO).

It would be better for these two reactions to shift to the right so that the  $CO_2$  gas concentration decreases and at the same time can enrich the CO gas in the syngas. At gasification temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the concentration of  $CO_2$  gas increases from 3.2% mol, to 3.21% mol and then to 3.79% mol. This increase is not very significant, because the increase in  $CO_2$  gas concentration increased by only 22.2%. It can be seen that with increasing gasification temperature, the H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio will increase, although not too significantly. At gasification temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the H<sub>2</sub>/CO gas ratio is 1.96; 2.11 and 2.44. The increase in this ratio is not very significant, because the increase in the H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio increases only 24.49% from a temperature of 450 °C to a temperature of 650 °C.

The quality of a syngas can be seen from the comparison value between combustible gas (H<sub>2</sub>, CO and  $CH_4$ ) and non-combustible gas (CO<sub>2</sub>), namely the CG/NCG ratio. The higher the CG/ NCG value, the better syngas is as a gas fuel because it has a higher heating value. The CG/ NCG value at a temperature of 450 °C is 14.00, while at a temperature of 550 °C and 650 °C it is 18.94 and 21.42 respectively. With an increase in temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C the increase in CG/NCG value is 53%. In other words, by increasing the CG/NCG value, the quality of the syngas will increase and the calorific value of burning the syngas will improve. The HHV values at temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C are 7.66 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, 10.99 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup> and 14.70 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Thus, with an increase in temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is an increase in HHV of 91.90%, meaning the faith has doubled. This result is economically beneficial. The higher the HHV value, the better the syngas is used as gas fuel. The LHV values at temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C are 6.88 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, 9.86 MJ/ Nm<sup>3</sup> and 13.14 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Thus, by increasing the gasification temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is also an increase in LHV of 91.90%, meaning it has doubled.

# Gasification of EFB with metal pillared bentonite catalyst at a concentration of 0.25%

Table 2 below shows the experimental results of the gasification process in the form of syngas composition,  $H_2$ /CO ratio value, CG/NCG ratio, and syngas combustion value both HHV and LHV, in the EFB gasification process using a 0.25% metal pillared bentonite catalyst. From the results of the EFB gasification experiment using a metal pillared bentonite catalyst concentration of 22.5%, there was an increase in the concentration of each synthetic gas (syngas) with increasing temperature. At a temperature of 650 °C, the concentration of H<sub>2</sub> gas reaches 46.9 mole %, CO gas reaches 20.7 mole %, CH<sub>4</sub> gas reaches 17.4 mole % and  $CO_2$  gas reaches 3.97 mole %. Meanwhile, other gases were the lowest at 11.03% mol. The calorific value of this synthetic gas is determined by the concentration of combustible gas, namely  $H_{\gamma}$ , CO and  $CH_{4}$  gas. It is hoped that by increasing the concentration of combustible gas, the calorific value of syngas, both HHV and LHV values obtained, will be better. From the results of this research, the comparison of combustible gas with non-combustible gas (CG/NCG) reached the highest value, namely 21.41 at a gasification temperature of 650 °C. By increasing the gasification temperature, the endothermic reaction will shift to the right of the reaction equation so that it will increase the combustibility of the gas as in the reaction:

From the series of reaction equations above, the concentration of syngas components will increase or decrease depending on which reaction is faster and the equilibrium value that has been reached. In the char reforming reaction, CO and H<sub>2</sub> gas will increase. In the water gas shift reaction, CO gas will decrease but H<sub>2</sub> gas will be formed. In the methanation reaction, there will be an addition of methane gas but a decrease in H<sub>2</sub> gas, while in the hydrogen oxidation reaction the level of H<sub>2</sub> gas will decrease. In the steam and methane reaction, there was an increase in the content of CO gas and H<sub>2</sub> gas, but there was a decrease in CH<sub>4</sub> gas. In the methane dry reforming reaction, there was also an increase in the content of CO gas and H<sub>2</sub> gas, but there was a decrease in  $CH_4$  gas.

The hydrogen gas content will increase due to the right-hand reaction from the char reforming reaction, the water gas shift reaction, the reaction between  $CH_4$  and water vapor and from the dry reforming reaction of methane. On the other

**Table 2.** Syngas composition,  $H_2/CO$  ratio value, CG/NCG ratio, and syngas combustion value both HHV and LHV, in the EFB gasification process using 0.25% metal pillared bentonite catalyst

Temperature, ⁰C	Syngas					Other geo	CG/	ц /со	Heat Value	
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH4	CO <sub>2</sub>	Total	Other gas	NCG	п <sub>2</sub> /СО	HHV (MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup> )	LHV (MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
450	25.40	18.10	9.20	1.50	54.20	45.80	35.13	1.40	9.19	8.32
550	36.10	19.70	15.40	1.20	72.40	27.60	59.33	1.83	13.23	11.90
650	47.10	22.30	20.00	0.90	90.30	9.70	99.33	2.11	16.79	15.07

hand, hydrogen gas decreases when methanation reactions and hydrogen oxidation reactions occur. An increase in syngas from a temperature of 450 °C to a temperature of 650 °C caused an increase of up to 88.35%.

The carbon monoxide content in syngas will increase due to the partial oxidation reaction, Boudouard reaction, steam formation from methane and dry reforming of methane, while the decrease in  $H_2$  gas is caused by the water gas shift reaction. The concentration of CO gas, which is also a combustible gas, increases with increasing temperature. At temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the CO concentration is 13.1% mol, 17.1% mol, and 20.7% mol or from a temperature of 450 °C to 650 °C. There is an increase in CO gas levels of 58.02%,

From the experimental results, for methane gas  $(CH_{4})$ , the concentration of this gas increased from 8.8% mol at a temperature of 450 °C to 17.4% mol at a temperature of 650 °C, which means an increase of 97.73%. This increase is quite significant. The formation of methane gas is increased by the methanation reaction, while the decrease in methane gas is caused by the steam and methane reaction as well as the methane dry reforming reaction. In the catalytic EFB gasification process, the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> gas is not desired or is kept to a minimum. This CO2 gas cannot be burned (noncombustible). To reduce CO2 gas and convert it into CO gas which is a combustible gas, you can use the Boudouard reaction (CO<sub>2</sub> + C  $\rightarrow$  2CO). This stage is carried out in order to increase CO gas and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> gas in syngas.

Therefore, efforts must be made to shift the two reactions above as little as possible to the right, so that the formation of CO gas is minimal. At gasification temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and 650 °C, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> gas increased from 3.3% mol, to 3.87% mol and then to 3.97% mol. This increase is not very significant, because the increase in CO2 gas concentration increased by only 20.3%. It can be seen that with increasing gasification temperature, the H2/CO ratio will increase, although not too significantly. At gasification temperatures of 450 °C, 550 °C and  $650 \,^{\circ}$ C, the H<sub>2</sub>/CO gas ratio is 1.90; 2.05 and 2.27. The increase in this ratio is not very significant, because the increase in the H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio increases only 19.47% from a temperature of 450 °C to a temperature of 650 °C.

The comparison value between combustible gas  $(H_2, CO \text{ and } CH_4)$  and non-combustible gas

(CO2), namely the CG/NCG ratio shows the quality of a syngas. The higher the CG/NCG value, the better syngas is as a gas fuel because it has a higher heating value. The CG/NCG value at a temperature of 450 °C is 14.18, while at a temperature of 550 °C it is 17.16 and at 650 °C it is 21.41. With an increase in temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C the increase in CG/NCG value is 51%. Therefore, by increasing the CG/NCG value, the quality of the syngas will increase and the calorific value of burning the syngas will improve. The high CG/NCG value will be more profitable, because the selling value of high-calorie fuel will increase profits in its production.

The HHV value at a temperature of 450 °C is 8.34 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, at a temperature of 550 °C is 12.32 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup> and at a temperature of 650 °C is 15.53 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Thus, increasing the temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is an increase in HHV of 86.21%. This result is economically beneficial. The higher the HHV value, the better the syngas is used as gas fuel. The HHV value at a temperature of 450 °C is 7.49 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>, at a temperature of 550 °C is 11.06 MJ/Nm3 and at a temperature of 650 °C is 13.91 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>. Thus, by increasing the gasification temperature from 450 °C to 650 °C, there is also an increase in LHV of 85.71%, meaning it has increased quite significantly. When compared with the use of 0.125% catalyst, the increase in catalyst concentration of 0.25% is smaller than 91.90%.

## CONCLUSIONS

From the experimental results it can be concluded that increasing temperature will improve the quality of syngas. Improving the quality of syngas can be done through the syngas enrichment process through the conversion of  $CO_2$  gas reacting with carbon to produce CO gas. The concentration of  $CO_2$  gas can be reduced by absorbing  $CO_2$  gas by the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution. Recommendations for optimal temperature of gasification is 650 °C.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia through Penelitian Dasar Kompetitif Nasional scheme (Grant Number: 142/E5/PG.02.00.PT/2022 and 0148.011/UN9.3.1/PL/2022).

#### REFERENCES

- Mohammed, M.A.A., Salmiaton, A., Wan Azlina, W.A.K.G., Mohammad Amran, M.S., and Fakhru'L-Razi, A., 2011. Air gasification of empty fruit bunch for hydrogen-rich gas production in a fluidized-bed reactor. Energy Conversion and Management, 52(2), 1555–561.
- 2. Statistical Yearbook of Indonesia 2023, BPS-Statistics Indonesia.
- Anyaoha, K.E., Sakrabani, R., Patchigolla, K., and Mouazen, A.M., 2018. Critical evaluation of oil palm fresh fruit bunch solid wastes as soil amendments: Prospects and challenges. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 136(January), 399–409.
- Novianti, S., Biddinika, M.K., Prawisudha, P., and Yoshikawa, K., 2014. Upgrading of palm oil empty fruit bunch employing hydrothermal treatment in labscale and pilot scale. Procedia Environmental Sciences, 20, 46–54.
- Strydom, C.A., Mthombo, T.S., Bunt, J.R., and Neomagus, H.W.J.P., 2018. Some physical and chemical characteristics of calcium lignosulphonate-bound coal fines. Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 118(12), 1277–1283.
- McKendry, P., Energy production from biomass (Part 1): Overview of biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 83(1), 37-46 McKendry, P.: Energy production from biomass (Part 1): Overview of biomass. Bioresour. Technol., 83(1), 37–46
- Laporan Kinerja Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral, 2017
- Haryanti, A., Norsamsi, Sholiha, P.S.F., and Putri, N.P., 2014. Studi pemanfaatan limbah padat kelapa sawit. jurnal Konversi, 3(2), 20–22.
- Siramon, P., Punsuvon, V., and Vaithanomsat, P., 2018. Production of bioethanol from oil palm empty fruit bunch via acid impregnation-steam explosion pretreatment. Waste and Biomass Valorization, 9(8), 1407–1414.
- Thushari, P.G.I. and Babel, S., 2018. Biodiesel production from aaste palm oil using palm empty fruit bunch-derived novel carbon acid catalyst. Journal of Energy Resources Technology, 140(3), 1–10.
- Chang, S.H., 2018. Bio-oil derived from palm empty fruit bunches: Fast pyrolysis, liquefaction and future prospects. Biomass and Bioenergy, 119(September), 263–276.
- Chew, J.J., Soh, M., Sunarso, J., Yong, S.T., Doshi, V., and Bhattacharya, S., 2020a. Gasification of torrefied oil palm biomass in a fixed-bed reactor: Effects of gasifying agentson product characteristics. Journal of the Energy Institute, 93(2), 711–722.
- Weng, Z., Kanchanatip, E., Hantoko, D., Yan, M., Su, H., Zhang, S., and Wang, G., 2020. Improving supercritical water gasification of sludge by oil palm empty fruit bunch addition: Promotion of syngas production

and heavy metal stabilization. Chinese Journal of ChemicalEngineering, 28(1), 293–298.

- 14. Borowski, G. and Hycnar, J.J., 2013. Utilization of fine coal waste as a fuel briquettes.
- 15. International Journal of Coal Preparation and Utilization, 33(4), 194–204.
- 16. Parzentny, H.R. and Róg, L., 2019. Geochemical characteristics of fine bituminous coal from upper silesian coal basin (Poland) and its potentially furnace waste. Gospodarka Surowcami Mineralnymi / Mineral Resources Management, 35(2), 49–68.
- Makgato, S.S., Falcon, R.M.S., and Chirwa, E.M.N., 2019. Reduction in coal fines and extended coke production through the addition of carbonisation tar: Environmentally clean process technology. Journal of Cleaner Production, 221, 684–694.
- Kuo, P.C. and Wu, W., 2015. Design of Co-gasification from Coal and Biomass Combined heat and Power Generation System. Energy Procedia, 75, 1120–1125.
- Heidenreich, S. and Ugo, P., 2015. New concepts in biomass gasification. Progress in Energyand Combustion Science, 46, 72–95.
- Sikarwar, V.S., Zhao, M., Clough, P., Yao, J., Zhong, X., Memon, M.Z., Shah, N., Anthony, E.J., and Fennell, P. s., 2016. An overview of advances in biomass gasification. Energy and Environment, 9(10).
- Glinwong, T. and Wongchang, T., 2017. Syngas production from biomass by linear heart gasifier. Energy Procedia, 138, 762–765.
- 22. Faizal, M., Said, M., Nurisman, E., Aprianti, N., Purification of Synthetic Gas from Fine Coal Waste Gasification as a Clean Fuel, Journal of Ecological Engineering 2021, 22(5), 114–120.
- Zheng, J.L., Zhu, M.Q., Wen, J.L., and Sun, R. cang, 2016. Gasification of bio-oil: Effects of equivalence ratio and gasifying agents on product distribution and gasification efficiency. Bioresource Technology, 211, 164–172.
- Sharma, R. and Sheth, P.N., 2015. Thermo-chemical conversion of jatropha deoiled cake: pyrolysis vs. gasification. International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applications,6(5), 376–380.
- 25. Ismail, T.M., Ramos, A., Monteiro, E., El-Salam, M.A., and Rouboa, A., 2020. Parametric studies in the gasification agent and fluidization velocity during oxygen-enriched gasification of biomass in a pilotscale fluidized bed: Experimental and numerical assessment. RenewableEnergy, 147, 2429–2439.
- 26. Sabitah, A.Y., Hamidi, N., and Wahyudi, S., 2018. Gasifikasi biomassa limbah sawit dengan penambahan katalis bentonit. In Prosiding Konferensi Ilmiah Teknologi Texmaco, pp. 168–174.,
- 27. Rozas, R., Escalona, N., Sepulveda, C., Leiva, K., Chimentao, R.J., Garcia, R., and Fierro, J.L.G., 2019. Catalytic gasification of pine-sawdust : Effect of primary and secondarycatalysts. Journal of the Energy

Institute,.

- 28. Aprianti, N., Faizal, M., Said, M., Nasir, N. 2021. ,Catalytic gasification of oil palm empty fruit bunch by using Indonesian bentonite as the catalyst, Journal of Applied Engineering Science,19(2), 334–343.
- Aprianti, N., Faizal, M., Said, M., Nasir, S., 2020. Valorization of Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Waste for Syngas Production Through Gasification, Journal of Ecological Engineering (JEE), 21(7), 17–26.
- 30. Aprianti, N., Faizal, M., Said, M., Nasir, S., 2021. Catalytic gasification of oil palm empty fruit bunch by using Indonesian bentonite as the catalyst, Journal of Applied Engineering Science, 19(2), 334-343.
- Faizal, M., Said, M., Nurisman, N., Aprianti, N., 2021. Purification of Synthetic Gas from Fine Coal Waste Gasification as a Clean Fuel, Journal of Ecological Engineering, 22(5), 114–120.
- Elfadly, A.M., Zeid, I.F., Yehia, F.Z., Abouelela, M.M., and Rabie, A.M., 2017. Production of aromatic hydrocarbons from catalytic pyrolysis of lignin over acid-activated bentonite clay. Fuel Processing Technology, 163, 1–7.
- 33. Andrini, L., Moreira Toja, R., Gauna, M.R., Conconi, M.S., Requejo, F.G., and Rendtorff, N.M., 2017. Extended and local structural characterization of a natural and 800 °C fired Na-montmorillonite–Patagonian bentonite by XRD and Al/Si XANES. Applied Clay Science, 137, 233–240.
- Kumar, A. and Lingfa, P., 2020. Sodium bentonite and kaolin clays: Comparative study on their FT-IR, XRF, and XRD. Materials Today: Proceedings, 22, 737–742).
- Panda, A.K., 2018. Thermo-catalytic degradation of different plastics to drop in liquid fuel using calcium bentonite catalyst. International Journal of Industrial Chemistry, 9(2), 167–176.
- Mohamed, B.A., Ellis, N., Kim, C.S., and Bi, X., 2019. Understanding catalytic effects of bentonite/clinoptilolite on biomass pyrolysis, Elsevier Ltd, 304–315.
- Rabie, A.M., Mohammed, E.A., and Negm, N.A., 2018. Feasibility of modified bentonite as acidic heterogeneous catalyst in low temperature catalytic cracking process of biofuel production from nonedible vegetable oils. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 254(2018), 260–266.
- Soetaredjo, F.E., Ayucitra, A., Ismadji, S., and Maukar, A.L., 2011. KOH/bentonite catalystsfor transesterification of palm oil to biodiesel. Applied Clay Science, 53(2), 341–346.
- Boz, N., Degirmenbasi, N., and Kalyon, D.M., 2013. Transesterification of canola oil to biodiesel using calcium bentonite functionalized with K compounds. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 138–139, 236–242.
- 40. Kar, Y., 2018. Catalytic cracking of pyrolytic oil by using bentonite clay for green liquid hydrocarbon fuels production. Biomass and Bioenergy, 119(September), 473–479.
- 41. Sewu, D.D., Lee, D.S., Tran, H.N., and Woo, S.H., 2019.

Effect of bentonite-mineral co- pyrolysis with macroalgae on physicochemical property and dye uptake capacity of bentonite/biochar composite. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers, 104, 106–113.

- 42. Gao, X., Zhong, H., Yao, G., Guo, W., and Jin, F., 2016. Hydrothermal conversion of glucoseinto organic acids with bentonite as a solid-base catalyst. Catalysis Today, 274, 49–54.
- 43. Ro, D., Shafaghat, H., Jang, S.H., Lee, H.W., Jung, S.C., Jae, J., Cha, J.S., and Park, Y.K., 2019. Production of an upgraded lignin-derived bio-oil using the clay catalysts of bentonite and olivine and the spent FCC in a bench-scale fixed bed pyrolyzer. Environmental Research,172(February), 658–664.
- 44. Kar, Y., Bozkurt, G., and Yalman, Y., 2019. Liquid fuels from used transformer oil by catalytic cracking using bentonite catalyst. Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy, 38(4), 1–6.
- 45. Udomsirichakorn, J. and Salam, P.A., 2014. Review of hydrogen-enriched gas production from steam gasification of biomass: The prospect of CaO-based chemical looping gasification. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 30, 565–579.
- 46. Shahbaz, M., Yusup, S., Inayat, A., Patrick, D.O., Ammar, M., and Pratama, A., 2017a. Cleaner Production of Hydrogen and Syngas from Catalytic Steam Palm Kernel Shell Gasification Using CaO Sorbent and Coal Bottom Ash as a Catalyst. Energy and Fuels, 31(12), 13824–13833.
- 47. Liu, Q., Hu, C., Peng, B., Liu, C., Li, Z., Wu, K., Zhang, H., and Xiao, R., 2019b. High H2/CO ratio syngas production from chemical looping co-gasification of biomass and polyethylene with CaO/Fe2O3 oxygen carrier. Energy Conversion and Management, 199, 111951.
- 48. Żółtowska, S., Koltsov, I., Alejski, K., Ehrlich, H., Ciałkowski, M., and Jesionowski, T., 2021. Thermal decomposition behaviour and numerical fitting for the pyrolysis kinetics of 3D spongin-based scaffolds. The classic approach. Polymer Testing, 97, 107148.
- Li, M., Jiang, L., He, J.J., and Sun, J.H., 2019. Kinetic triplet determination and modified mechanism function construction for thermo-oxidative degradation of waste polyurethane foam using conventional methods and distributed activation energy model method. Energy, 175, 1–13
- Singh, R.K., Pandey, D., Patil, T., and Sawarkar, A.N., 2020b. Pyrolysis of banana leaves biomass: Physicochemical characterization, thermal decomposition behavior, kinetic and thermodynamic analyses. Bioresource Technology, 310, 123464.
- 51. Sahoo, A., Gautam, R., Kumar, S., and Mohanty, K., 2021. Energy optimization from a binary mixture of non-edible oilseeds pyrolysis: Kinetic triplets analysis using Thermogravimetric Analyser and prediction modeling by Artificial Neural Network. Journalof Environmental Management, 297, 113253.