JEE Journal of Ecological Engineering

Journal of Ecological Engineering, 2025, 26(7), 1–10 https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/202235 ISSN 2299–8993, License CC-BY 4.0 Received: 2025.02.10 Accepted: 2025.04.22 Published: 2025.05.15

Effect of nano alumina on some properties of sustainable reactive powder concrete

Tara Alaa Jalil^{1*}, Nada Mahdi Fawzi¹

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

* Corresponding author's e-mail: t.jalil1701@coeng.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

Reactive powder concrete (RPC) is an ultra-high-performance concrete. It consists of very fine powder including cement, sand, silica fume, quartz powder, steel fiber, superplasticizer, and very low water to cementitious ratio. Reducing the high cement content in RPC by replacing it with nano-Al₂O₃ to produce environmentally friendly RPC was the objective of this study. In this research, 10% of the weight of fine aggregate was replaced with quartz sand. After that, 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% by weight of cement was replaced with nano-Al₂O₃ compressive strength, flexural strength, and splitting tensile strength tests were performed. The findings exhibited the optimal percentage of nano-Al₂O₃ was 1% where the compressive, flexural, and splitting tensile strengths at 28 days increased by 10.57%, 20.89% and 15.68%, respectively, in comparison to the reference mixture.

Keywords: reactive powder concrete, nano Al₂O₃, compressive strength, flexural strength, splitting tensile strength.

INTRODUCTION

Concrete is among of the most commonly employed construction materials globally [Shi et al., 2011; Muhsin & Fawzi, 2021]. Cement constitutes the primary component of concrete, with its production process yielding over two billion tons of (CO₂) emissions each year, representing nearly 7% of global (CO₂) emissions. The production of cement is projected to attain over six billion tons annually by 2050 [Pacheco-Torgal et al., 2013]. To reach sustainability in civil engineering, there is significant interest in producing reactive powder concrete (RPC) utilizing eco-friendly materials to reduce the CO₂ emissions from cement production facilities [Muhsin & Fawzi, 2021; Hussain & Aljalawi, 2022]. Substantial efforts were undertaken diminish the negative impacts of cement on both the climate and the environment. A multitude of studies has been undertaken to investigate alternatives to cement, including the use of pozzolans and nanoparticles. Nanoparticles are more precisely defined as innovative composites of concrete. Nano materials are employed

in the cement-based materials as additions and substitutes for improving essential mechanical and durability features due to their more reactive nature and extensive surface area [Reches, 2018]. The relatively small particle size of the nanoparticles strengthens the microstructure of the concrete material by occupying voids in the cement paste, hence augmenting the packing density [Jo et al., 2007; Li et al., 2017]. Nanoparticles increase the strength of cementitious materials by optimizing the process of hydrating of cement, leading to the development of C-S-H [Reches et al., 2018; Monasterio et al., 2015]. RPC denotes a high-performance concrete type distinguished by its exceptional durability and strength [Qasim & Fawzi, 2024]. Enhancing the characteristics of RPC through modifications to its internal structure or the replacement or incorporation of aggregates is increasingly recognized as an effective approach to achieve RPC with specific qualities suitable for various purposes within the construction field [Luti & Abbas, 2024]. Constitution engineers exhibit a pronounced interest in augmenting the mechanical features of cementitious composite components within their current concrete applications. The enhancement of compressive, tensile, and flexural strength represents some of the most sought-after mechanical attributes in concrete construction. The integration of nanoparticles into cementitious matrices is instrumental in achieving concrete mixes characterized by superior mechanical strength [Prathebha et al., 2016; Bautista-Gutierrez et al., 2019]. Within the various categories of nanoparticles, the incorporation of nano-Al₂O₃ into cementitious materials has undergone analysis by multiple researchers. The application of nano-Al₂O₂ may accelerate the synthesis of (C-S-H) gel, particularly during early stages, thereby enhancing the strength of composite materials [Liu et al., 2015; Muzenski et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019]. According to Salim et al. [2023], the compressive and flexural strengths of the control mixture (RPC) and RPC with three different proportions of nano-alumina (1.5%, 3%, and 5%) replacing silica fume by weight were assessed. The findings indicated that the maximum compressive strength was 140.32 MPa, while the maximum flexural strength was 30 MPa in the mixtures containing 3% of nano-Al₂O₂. The mixtures containing 1.5% and 5% of nano-Al2O3 exhibited improved compressive and flexural strengths relative to the standard concrete mix. Preeth & Mahendran [2019] partially replaced cement with 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, and 3% of nanoalumina. The findings shown that the addition of nano-alumina decreases the current crossing the surface of the concrete and raises the electrical resistivity of UHPC, probably owing to the enhanced packing of nano-Al₂O₃, which minimizes porosity. Chu et al. [2022] examined the viability of manufacturing UHPC with an improved elastic modulus utilizing nano-alumina. When compared to UHPC without NA, the findings indicated that adding NA increased the flexural strength by 7.38-16.87%, compressive strength by 4.08-20.58%, and the elastic modulus by 2.89-14.08%. In addition, the essential pores and porosity of UHPC were negatively affected by NA addition, indicating that NA may improve its pore structure. The aim of this research was to replace the cement with nano-Al₂O₂ to produce sustainable RPC, reducing pollution of the environment resulting from the cement industry and to assess the impact of nano-Al₂O₃ on specific properties of RPC.

MATERIALS AND MIX DESIGN

Materials used

Cement

OPC (CEM I–42.5R) was employed in all mixes and it complies with [I.Q.S No.5, 2019]. The chemical and physical properties displayed in Tables 1 and 2.

Fine aggregate

The fine aggregate utilized was classified as zone 4, according to [IQS No.45, 1984]. Table 3 present the properties of the fine aggregate. Table 4 present the sand gradation.

Silica fume

Silica fume (SF) was employed as an additive for all mixtures and it complies with [ASTM C1240, 2015]. Tables 5 and 6 present the properties of silica fume.

Oxide compositions	Content (%)	[I.Q.S No. 5-2019] limits
CaO	62.7	-
SiO ₂	20.3	_
Fe ₂ O ₃	4.4	_
Al ₂ O ₃	5.1	_
MgO	3.7	Max 5
SO3	2.16	SO ₃ ≤ 2.8 if C ₃ A > 3.5 SO ₃ ≤ 2.5 if C ₃ A ≤ 3.5
IR	0.6	Max 1.5
LOI	2.5	Max 4

Table 1. Chemical properties of OPC

Table 2. Physical properties of OPC

Property	Results	[I.Q.S No. 5-2019] limits			
Specific surface area – Blaine approach (m²/kg)	382	≥ 280			
Initial setting time (min)	170	≥ 45			
Final setting time (h)	4:25	≤ 10			
Soundness (%)	0.15	≤ 0.80			
Compressive strength (MPa)					
2-days	24	≥ 20			
28-days	45	≥ 42.5			

Property	Results	I.Q.S No. 45/1984	Standard test
Specific gravity	2.6	-	ASTM C128
Fineness modulus	1.62	-	I.R Guide No.500/3,2018
Dry rodded density (kg/m ³)	1520	_	ASTM C29/C29M
Absorption (%)	1.05	-	ASTM C128
Sulfate content (%)	0.23	Max 0.5	I.R Guide No.500/3,2018

 Table 3. Physical and chemical properties of fine aggregate

 Table 4. Fine aggregate grading

Sieve size (mm)	Cumulative passing (wt.%)	[I.Q.S No. 45/1984] (Zone 4)
10	100	100
4.75	100	95-100
2.36	100	95-100
1.18	100	95-100
0.6	100	95-100
0.3	35	15-50
0.15	3	0-15

Quartz owder

Quartz powder (QP) was used in this experiment as it had been adequately refined to comply with the requirements [ASTM C 311, 2015]. Tables 7 and 8 presents the properties of quartz powder in accordance with ASTM-C 618, 2018.

Quartz sand

The quartz sand (QS) used in this research was obtained from the Ardhuma deposit in the Iraqi Western Desert. The quartz sand utilized in this study was classified as zone 4, according to [IQS No. 45, 1984]. The gradation of quartz sand is shown in Table 9. Table 10 presents the properties of the quartz sand. In this research, quartz sand was used as partial replacement of fine aggregate.

Chemical admixtures

A superplasticizer was included into the mixture to lower the water content and increase the concrete strength. This enhancement broadened the flow abilities and it complies with [ASTM C494, 2019], type G. Additional information regarding this additive can be found in Table 11.

Table 5. Chemical properties of SF

Oxide	Content %	[ASTM C1240] Requirement
SiO ₂	92.62	Min 85%
Al ₂ O ₃	0.35	_
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.24	-
CaO	0.52	-
MgO	0.93	_
L.O.I.	3.4	Max 6%

Table 6. Physical properties of SF

Property	Results	[ASTM C1240] Requirement
Retained on (No.325) sieve, %	3.5	Max. 10
Strength activities index at 7 days, %	115	Min. 105
Specific surface area (m²/kg)	20	Min. 15
Color	Grey	-

Table 7. Chemical properties of quartz powder

Oxide	Content (%)	[ASTM C618] Requirement
SiO ₂	99.18	Min 70%
Al_2O_3	0.32	_
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.02	_
CaO	0.1	_
MgO	0.295	_
L.O.I.	0.010	Max 6%

Table 8. Physical properties of quartz powder

Property	Results	[ASTM C618] Requirement
Retained on (No.325) sieve,%	32	Max. 34
Strength Activities Index at 7 days,%	85	Min. 75
Specific surface area (m²/kg)	19	Min. 15
Color	White	-

Table 9. Quartz sand grading

Sieve size (mm)	Cumulative passing (wt.%)	[I.Q.S No.45/1984] (Zone 4)
10	100	100
4.75	100	95-100
2.36	100	95-100
1.18	100	95-100
0.6	100	95-100
0.3	50	15-50
0.15	3	0-15

Property	Results	I.Q.S No. 45/1984	Standard test	
Specific gravity	2.65	-	ASTM C128	
Fineness modulus	1.45	_	Iraqi Reference Guide No.500/3,2018	
Dry rodded density (kg/m ³)	1540	_	ASTM C29/ C29M	
Absorption (%)	1.17	-	ASTM C128	
Sulfate content (%)	0.09	max 0.5	Iraqi Reference Guide No.500/3,2018	

Table 10. I	Physical	and	chemical	pro	perties	ofc	quartz	sand
-------------	----------	-----	----------	-----	---------	-----	--------	------

Table 11. Properties of superplasticizer

Property	Specification
Appearance	Light yellow
Specific gravity	1.07 ± 0.02
PH	5–7
Dosage	0.5–3.5 L/100 kg of cementitious materials
Chloride content (%)	Nil

Water

Potable water was employed to adequately combine and cure the samples used in this study. The water utilized must be suitable for its intended purpose and comply with the standard requirements specified in [IQS. No. 1703, 2018].

Nano AI_2O_3

Nano Al_2O_3 used in this work was obtained from the SkySpring-Nanomaterials company. It had a diameter of 50 nm, as shown in Figure 1. It was used as cement replacement. Table 12 shows the physical properties of NA that were used.



Figure 1. Sample of nano-Al₂O₃

Table	12.	Propert	ies of	nano	$Al_{2}O_{2}$
-------	-----	---------	--------	------	---------------

Property	Specification		
Appearance	White		
Туре	Alpha		
Purity	99.9%		
Form	Powder		
Average particle size	50 nm		
Density	3.5–3.98 g/cm ³		

MIX DESIGN

Two groups of mixtures were studied based on Richard and Cheyrezy [1995], the first group consisted of three RPC mixtures depending on fine aggregate replacement by 10%, 20%, and 30% of quartz sand by weight. The optimum percentage was 10 % of quartz sand. The second group consists of replacing the cement with 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% of nano-Al₂O₃ by weight. For all mixtures, the water to cementitious ratio (w/cm) was 0.19, the silica fume was 219 kg/m³, the quartz powder 371 kg/m³ and the superplasticizer was 2.5 liter for 100 kg of cementitious material. Tables 13 and 14 present the details of concrete mixtures

Mixing procedure

In this investigation, the method of Al-Hassani et al. [2014] was used to make RPC. For RPC concrete, the cement, silica fume, quartz powder and nano-Al₂O₂ were combined in a dry state for approximately 3 minutes to achieve uniform distribution of silica fume, quartz powder, and nano-Al₂O₂ particles inside the cement matrix, followed by the incorporation of sand, and the mix was thereafter mixed for five minutes (Fig. 2). The superplasticizer has to dissolve in water, and the solution that results is gradually introduced during the mixing process, culminating in a total mixing period of 3 minutes. The mixer ceased operation, necessitating manual mixing, especially in the regions inaccessible to the mixer blades. The mixer functioned for 10 minutes to attain sufficient fluidity. The complete mixing of one batch needs around 15 minutes following the incorporation of water into the mixture.

PREPARATION OF SPECIMENS AND EXPERIMENTAL LAB TESTS

Upon completion of the mixing method, the steel molds were prepped and cleaned.

Mixes	Cement	Sand	QS	SF	QP	SP L/100 kg of cementitious materials	Water
MR	950	1045	_	219	371	2.5	222
*MS ₁₀	950	940.5	104.5	219	371	2.5	222
MS ₂₀	950	836	209	219	371	2.5	222
MS ₃₀	950	731.5	313.5	219	371	2.5	222

Table 13. Details of RPC mixtures in kg/m³

Note: *After many trials with different percentages (10%, 20%, 30%) of quartz sand, this ratio has been selected due to its mixture giving higher compressive strength compared with other percentages.

Mixes	Cement	Sand	Nano Al_2O_3	QS	SF	QP	SP L/100 kg of cementitious materials	Water
MR	950	940.5	-	104.5	219	371	2.5	222
MN _{0.5}	945.3	940.5	4.7	104.5	219	371	2.5	222
MN ₁	940.5	940.5	9.5	104.5	219	371	2.5	222
MN _{1.5}	935.8	940.5	14.2	104.5	219	371	2.5	222

Table 14. Details of RPC mixtures in kg/m³



Figure 2. Materials of RPC

Thereafter, the concrete was poured into the molds with vibration. Nylon covers were subsequently laid over the specimens. The molds were removed afterwards to a 24-hour interval. The specimens were then cured in hot water at 75 °C for 7 and 28 days.

Flow test

The flow of RPC calculated according to ASTM C1437, 2017, by using Eq. (1). The test stopped and the flow value calculated after 25 strokes during 15 s.

$$Flow = \left[\frac{D - 100}{100}\right] \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where: D – represents spread rate on the base diameter for the concrete from 4 measurements in (mm) (Fig. 3).

Compressive strength test

The compressive strength of the RPC samples was found according to ASTM C 109/C 109M, 2020] by using a compression device. The cubes utilized in this test have dimensions of $50 \times 50 \times 50$ mm. This test was conducted on concrete cubes aged 7 and 28 days. The compressive strength was established by mean (3) of the samples for each age. The compressive strength of each cube has been calculated based on Eq. (2).

$$F = \frac{P}{A} \tag{2}$$

where: F – compressive strength in (MPa), A – loaded surface area in (mm²), P – maximum load in (N).

Flexural strength test

Flexural strength of the RPC samples was found according to ASTM C293-19, 2019, using a flexural device. The prisms used in this test had



Figure 3. Steps for flow table test



Figure 4. Casting, testing, and failure of sample

dimensions of $250 \times 50 \times 50$ mm. This test was conducted on concrete prisms aged (7 and 28) days. The flexural strength was established by mean (3) of the samples for each age. The flexural strength of each prism has been calculated based on Eq. (3) (Fig. 5).

$$Fr = \frac{3Pl}{2bd^2} \tag{3}$$

where: Fr – Flexural strength in (MPa), P – Failure load (N), L – The distance between the rollers of support in (mm), b – width of specimen in (mm), d – thickness of specimen in (mm) specimens used had dimensions of 100×200 mm. This test was conducted on concrete cylinders aged 7 and 28 days. The splitting tensile strength was established by mean (3) of the samples for each age. The splitting tensile strength of each cylinder has been calculated based on Eq. (4) (Fig. 6).

$$T = \frac{2P}{\pi dl} \tag{4}$$

where: T – splitting tensile strength (MPa), P – max applied load in (N), d – diameter in (mm), L – length in (mm).

Splitting tensile strength test

Splitting tensile strength of the RPC samples was found according to ASTM C496-17, 2017, using a compressive device. The cylindrical

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flow test

The flow ability of mixes with nano- Al_2O_3 decreased in comparison to the flow ability of the



Figure 5. Casting, testing, and failure of sample



Figure 6. Casting, testing, and failure of sample

reference mixture. This arises from the large surface area of the nano-Al₂O₃ particles, allowing an increased rate of water absorption. The flow significantly decreases with a 1.5% replacement of nano-Al₂O₃ [Gowda et al., 2017], as shown in Table 15 and Figure 7.

Compressive strength

The compressive strength of the reference mixture increases with 0.5% and 1% nano-Al₂O₂ and then decreases, although the findings of 1.5% remain higher relative to the reference mixture. The use of 1.5% nano-Al₂O₂ particles reduces the compressive strength to a level close to that of the control concrete. This may be because of the additional amount of nano-Al₂O₂ particles in the mixture could exceed the necessary quantity needed to interact with the generated lime during hydrating, resulting in an excessive amount of silica leaking and a reduction in strength, since it substitutes some of the cementitious material without enhancing strength. Additionally, it may result from the defects produced during the spread of nanoparticles, leading to weak zones [Nazari et al., 2010], as illustrated in Table 16 and Figure 8.

Flexural strength

The flexural strength of the reference mix increases with 0.5% and 1% nano-Al₂O₂ replacement. This is because the incorporation of small particles will strengthen the structure of the concrete material by filling several voids within it [Fawzi & Fala, 2009; Alsaedy & Aljalawi, 2021]. Conversely, the flexural strength decreased with nano-AL₂O₂ 1.5% replacement, but remained higher than the reference mix, owing to the potential for agglomeration formation, which resulted in a weak bond between nano-Al₂O₂ and the surrounding matrix [Mirjalili et al., 2009]. Also, this is due to the voids created by the agglomeration of nano-Al₂O₂ particles and the other constituents in the RCP mixture [Mahmood & Kockal, 2021]. This is illustrated in Table 17 and Figure 9.

Table 15. Effect of NA on the RPC mixtures flow

Mix type	Flow (%)		
MR	110		
0.5% NA	100		
1% NA	90		
1.5% NA	80		



Figure 7. Effect of NA on the RPC mixtures flow

 Table 16. Results of compressive strength

Mix type	Compressi (M	ve strength Pa)	Increase in compressive strength (%)		
	7 days 28 days 7 days		7 days	28 days	
MR	85	104	-	_	
0.5% NA	89	109	4.70	4.80	
1% NA	95	115	11.76	10.57	
1.5% NA	86	105	1.17	0.96	



Figure 8. Effect of NA on the compressive strength of RPC

Table 17. Results of flexural strength

Mix type	Flexura (M	l strength IPa)	Increase in flexural strength (%)		
	7 days	7 days 28 days 7 days		28 days	
MR	11.2	13.4	_	_	
0.5% NA	12.6	15.5	12.5	15.67	
1% NA	15.3	16.2	36.60	20.89	
1.5% NA	12.1	14.7	8.03	9.70	

Splitting tensile strength

The splitting tensile strength of reference mix increases with nano-Al₂O₃ 0.5% and 1% replacement this may arise from the extensive surface





Figure 9. Effect of NA on the flexural strength of RPC mixtures

area of nanoparticles, which enhances pozzolanic reactions leading to the development of C-S-H gel and a comprehensive enhancement in strength [Atiq Orakzai, 2021]. Conversely, the tensile strength decreased with 1.5% nano- AL_2O_3 replacement, but remained higher than in the reference mix. The reduction in splitting tensile strength is due to the agglomeration of

Table 18. Results of splitting tensile strength

Mix type	Splitting strengt	g tensile n (MPa)	Increase in splitting tensile strength (%)		
	(7 days)	(28 days)	(7 days)	(28 days)	
MR	8.1	10.2	—	—	
0.5% NA	8.9	11	9.87	7.84	
1% NA	9.6	11.8	18.51	15.68	
1.5% NA	8.6	10.6	6.17	3.92	



Figure 10. Effect of NA on the splitting tensile strength of RPC mixtures

nanoparticles, which enhances concrete porosity and exacerbates stress concentration in the concrete under load [Chen et al., 2012]. Also, the presence of fine particles significantly hinders the ability of cement pasteto adequately cover all fine and coarse particles, resulting in a reduction of the reactive clinker component. It reduced the adhesion between cement and aggregate, this leads to a reduction in tensile strength [Aljalawi, 2021], as illustrated in Table 18 and Figure 10.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the characteristics of reactive powder concrete, the most prominent of which is high cement content, this research focused on producing reactive powder concrete mixtures sustainably to reduce environmental pollution through decreasing cement consumption by replacing it with nano-Al₂O₂.

On the basis of the experimental results, replacing (10%) of the weight of fine aggregate with quartz sand increased the compressive strength. Nano Al_2O_3 enhances the mechanical properties of RPC because it fills the voids present in the concrete. The flow ability of reactive powder concrete decreased with nano- Al_2O_3 due its high surface area which led to higher water absorption. The compressive strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, increased by 4.80%, 10.57%, and 0.96% in comparison to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, replacing, replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, replacing, replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, replacing, replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, replacing, replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, nano- Al_2O_3 replacing, not present to the reference mix. The flexural strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, nano- Al_2O_3 replaces the replacement of the reference mix.

increased by 15.67%, 20.89%, 9.70% respectively. The splitting tensile strength at 28 days with 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% nano-Al₂O₃ replacing increased by 7.84% 15.68% and 3.92% respectively.

REFERENCES

- Al-Hassani, H.M, Ismail Khalil, W., S. Danha, L. (2014). Mechanical properties of reactive powder concrete (RPC) with various steel fiber and silica fume contents. *Engineering and Technology Journal*, *31*(16), 3090–3108. https://doi.org/10.30684/ etj.31.16a.8
- Aljalawi, N. M. F. (2021). Effect of nanomaterial on the properties of ultra high performance concrete. *Iraqi Journal of Industrial Research*, 8(2), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.53523/ijoirvol8i2id76
- Alsaedy, S. M., Aljalawi, N. (2021). The effect of nanomaterials on the properties of limestone dust green concrete. *Engineering, Technology and Applied Science Research*, *11*(5), 7619–7623. https:// doi.org/10.48084/etasr.4371
- 4. ASTM C 311-15 (2015). Standard test methods for sampling and testing fly ash or natural pozzolans for use in portland-cement concrete. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 04.02, pp. 204–212. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1520/C0311.
- ASTM C109-20 (2020). ASTM C109-20. Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Hydraulic Cement Mortars (Using 2-in. or [50 mm] Cube Specimens). Annual Book of ASTM Standards, p. 9.
- ASTM C1240, A. (2015). Standard Specification for Silica Fume Used in Cementitious Mixtures, West Conshohocken. Pa, pp. 1–7. Available at: https://doi. org/10.1520/C1240-14.2.
- ASTM C150 (2015). Standard specification for portland cement ASTM C150. Astm, 552(d).
- 8. ASTM C293-19 (2019) 'Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam With Center-Point Loading)', ASTM International [Preprint].
- ASTM C494 (2019). ASTM C494/C494M. Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete. Annual book of ASTM standards 2019. ASTM International, 04, 1–9. Available at: https:// doi.org/10.1520/C0494.
- ASTM C496-17 (2017). Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens. ASTM Standard Book, pp. 545–545–3.
- ASTM-C 618-18 (2018). Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use as a Mineral Admixture in Concrete (ASTM C618-15). ASTM International, pp. 1–4. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1520/C0618-15.2.

- ASTM-C1437 (2015). Standard Test Method for Flow of Hydraulic Cement Mortar. ASTM International, 6–7.
- Atiq Orakzai, M. (2021). Hybrid effect of nanoalumina and nano-titanium dioxide on Mechanical properties of concrete. Case Studies in Construction Materials, 14, e00483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cscm.2020.e00483
- 14. Bautista-Gutierrez, K. P., Herrera-May, A. L., Santamaría-López, J. M., Honorato-Moreno, A., Zamora-Castro, S. A. (2019). Recent progress in nanomaterials for modern concrete infrastructure: Advantages and challenges. *Materials*, *12*(21), 1–40. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12213548
- Chen, J., Kou, S. C., Poon, C. S. (2012). Hydration and properties of nano-TiO 2 blended cement composites. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 34(5), 642–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.02.009
- 16. Chu, H., Wang, Q., Gao, L., Jiang, J., & Wang, F. (2022). An Approach of Producing Ultra-High Performance Concrete with High Elastic Modulus by Nano-Al₂O₃: A Preliminary Study. Materials, 15(22). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15228118
- Fawzi, N. M., Fala, A. (2009). Product high performance concrete by use different type of local pozolana. *Journal of Engineering*, 15(January 2009), 620–632.
- Gowda, R., Narendra, H., Rangappa, D., Prabhakar, R. (2017). Effect of nano-alumina on workability, compressive strength and residual strength at elevated temperature of Cement Mortar. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(11), 12152–12156. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.09.144
- Hussain, Z. A., Aljalawi, N. M. F. (2022). Behavior of reactive powder concrete containing recycled glass powder reinforced by steel fiber. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Materials*, *31*(1), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1515/jmbm-2022-0025
- 20. IQS (1984). Iraqi Standard Specification No.45, Aggregate from Natural Sources for Concrete, Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control, Baghdad, Iraq, 1984, p. 17.
- 21. IQS.NO.1703 (2018). Iraqi Specification No .1703: Water Used for Concrete and Mortar. Baghdad, Iraq: Central Organization for Standardization and Quality Control, 2018.
- 22. IQS.No.5 (2019). Iraqi Specification No.5, Portland Cement, Ministry of Planning, Central Organization for Standardization and Quality Control, Baghdad, Iraq, 2019'
- 23. Jo, B. W., Kim, C. H., Tae, G. Ho, Park, J. Bin. (2007). Characteristics of cement mortar with nano SiO2 particles. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(6), 1351–1355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. conbuildmat.2005.12.020

- Preeth, S., Mahendran, K. (2019). The electrical resistivity of nano-Al₂O₃ particles blended ultra high performance concrete. *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*, 7(4), 25–28.
- 25. Li, W., Long, C., Tam, V. W. Y., Poon, C. S., Hui Duan, W. (2017). Effects of nano-particles on failure process and microstructural properties of recycled aggregate concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 142, 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. conbuildmat.2017.03.051
- 26. Liu, J., Li, Q., Xu, S. (2015). Influence of nanoparticles on fluidity and mechanical properties of cement mortar. *Construction and Building Materials*, 101, 892–901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. conbuildmat.2015.10.149
- Luti, A. A., Abbas, Z. K. (2024). The investigation of different curing regimes on reactive powder concrete strength: A review. *Journal of Engineering*, *30*(11), PP. 200–215. https://doi.org/10.31026/j. eng.2024.11.12
- Mahmood, R. A., & Kockal, N. U. (2021). Nanoparticles used as an ingredient in different types of concrete. *SN Applied Sciences*, 3(5), 1–17. https://doi. org/10.1007/s42452-021-04461-3
- 29. Mirjalili, F., Chuah, L., Dayang, A. B., Hasmaliza, M., Aghababazadeh, R., Ahmadun, F. (2009). Mechanical properties of α-Al₂O₃/PP nano composite. *Journal of Applied Sciences 9*(17), 3199–3201). https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2009.3199.3201
- 30. Monasterio, M., Gaitero, J. J., Erkizia, E., Guerrero Bustos, A. M., Miccio, L. A., Dolado, J. S., Cerveny, S. (2015). Effect of addition of silica- and amine functionalized silica-nanoparticles on the microstructure of calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gel. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 450, 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2015.02.066
- 31. Muhsin, Z. F., Fawzi, N. M. (2021). Effect of fly ash on some properties of reactive powder concrete. *Journal of Engineering*, 27(11), pp. 32-46. https:// doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2021.11.03
- 32. Muhsin, Z. F., Fawzi, N. M. (2021). Effect of Nano Calcium Carbonate on Some Properties of Reactive Powder Concrete. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 856(1). https://doi.org/10.1 088/17551315/856/1/012026
- 33. Muzenski, S., Flores-Vivian, I., Sobolev, K. (2019). Ultra-high strength cement-based composites designed with aluminum oxide nano-fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 220, 177–186. https://

doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.05.175

- 34. Nazari, A., Riahi, S., Riahi, S., Shamekhi, S. F., Khademno, A. (2010). Influence of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles on the compressive strength and workability of blended concrete. *Jornal of American Science*, *6*(5), 6–9.
- 35. Pacheco-Torgal, F., Miraldo, S., Ding, Y., Labrincha, J. A. (2013). Targeting HPC with the help of nanoparticles: An overview. *Construction and Building Materials*, *38*, 365–370. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.08.013
- 36. Prathebha, P., Aswini, S., Revathy, J. (2016). Effect of nanoparticles on strength and durability properties on cement mortar. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 857, 65–70. https://doi.org/10.4028/www. scientific.net/amm.857.65
- 37. Qasim, R. M., Fawzi, N. M. (2024). The effect of different curing temperatures on properties of reactive powder concrete reinforced by micro steel fibers. *Journal of Engineering*, 30(6), 57–66. https:// doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2024.06.04
- Reches, Y. (2018). Nanoparticles as concrete additives: Review and perspectives. *Construction* and Building Materials, 175, 483–495. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.214
- 39. Reches, Y., Thomson, K., Helbing, M., Kosson, D. S., Sanchez, F. (2018). Agglomeration and reactivity of nanoparticles of SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, and clays in cement pastes and effects on compressive strength at ambient and elevated temperatures. *Construction and Building Materials*, *167*, 860–873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.032
- 40. Richard, P. and Cheyrezy, M. (1995). Composition of reactive powder concretes. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 25(7), pp. 1501–1511. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(95)00144-2.
- 41. Salim, L. G., Salman, A. J., Jawad, Z. F. (2023). Effect of nano alumina on mechanical properties of reactive powder concrete. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2787(1). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0149418
- 42. Shi, C., Jiménez, A. F., Palomo, A. (2011). New cements for the 21st century: The pursuit of an alternative to Portland cement. *Cement and Concrete Research*, *41*(7), 750–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cemconres.2011.03.016
- 43. Yang, Z., Gao, Y., Mu, S., Chang, H., Sun, W., Jiang, J. (2019). Improving the chloride binding capacity of cement paste by adding nano-Al₂O₃. *Construction and Building Materials*, 195, 415–422. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.11.012